```
1
                            BEFORE THE
              PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF WISCONSIN
 2.
     APPLICATION OF AMERICAN
                                           Docket No.
137-CE-146
 3
     TRANSMISSION COMPANY, AS AN
     ELECTRIC PUBLIC UTILITY, TO )
 4
     CONSTRUCT A NEW 138 kV LINE FROM A)
     NEW SUBSTATION IN FITCHBURG, TO BE)
 5
     CALLED THE OAK RIDGE SUBSTATION, )
     TO THE EXISTING WISCONSIN POWER
     AND LIGHT COMPANY VERONA
     SUBSTATION IN VERONA, ALL IN DANE )
     COUNTY, WISCONSIN
7
 8
9
              EXAMINER EDWARD S. MARION, PRESIDING
10
                     TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11
                        VOLUME 1 (PUBLIC)
12
13
     Reported By:
14
     LYNN PEPPEY BAYER, RPR, CM
     Gramann Reporting Ltd.
     (414) 272-7878
15
16
17
18
     HEARING HELD:
                                   TRANSCRIPT PAGES:
19
     September 24, 2007
                                   1 - 174, Incl.
20
     Fitchburg City Hall EXHIBITS:
                                    А – Н
21
     Fitchburg, Wisconsin
2.2
     3:00 and 7:00 p.m.
```

```
1
                       APPEARANCES
 2
     AMERICAN TRANSMISSION COMPANY:
 3
           STEVEN STRECK, Axley Brynelson LLP, 2 East Mifflin
     Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53703. CHUCK CUMMINGS also
 5
     appeared.
     MADISON GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY:
 6
7
           EDWIN HUGHES, Stafford Rosenbaum LLP, P.O. Box 1784,
     Madison, WI 53701-1784.
8
9
     WISCONSIN POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY:
10
           THERESA M. HOTTENROTH, P.O. Box 77007, Madison,
     Wisconsin 53707-1007.
11
12
     OF COMMISSION STAFF:
           DIANE RAMTHUN, Legal Counsel
13
14
     OF THE PUBLIC:
15
           LYNNE BALLESTA, 5600 Rolene Court, Oregon, WI, 53575
16
           BONNIE MOSCHKAU, 2403 Kathleen Street, Fitchburg, WI
17
           ROBERT LAUER, 2407 Lisa Lane, Madison, WI
18
           REBECCA ZUBA, 5493 Jan Drive, Fitchburg, WI
19
           ROBERT LAWRENCE, 2413 Lisa Lane, Fitchburg, WI 53711
           PHYLLIS FOSSHAGE, 5110 Whitcomb Drive, Madison, WI
20
21
     53711
22
           JOHN and MEG CATES, 2371 Kathleen Street, Madison,
     WI 53711
23
24
           GARY HAMMEN, 2397 Kathleen Street, Fitchburg, WI
25
     53711
```

```
1
           ROGER W. COLE, E12805 West Point Drive, Merrimac, WI
     53561
 2
 3
           LAWRENCE W. and BEVERLY RYDER, 2428 Lisa Lane,
     Fitchburg, WI 53711
           JAY ALLEN, 5360 Lacy Road, Fitchburg, WI 53711
 5
           TONY HARTMANN, 5680 Whalen Road, Fitchburg, WI 53711
 6
 7
           RICHARD DOERFER, 6391 Whalen Road, Verona, WI 53593
           ANNELIESE EMERSON, 5137 Whitcomb Drive, Madison, WI
 8
           DAN and JUDY BREUNIG, 5490 Jan Drive, Fitchburg, WI
9
10
     53711
11
           RENA GELMAN, 2407 Lisa Lane, Fitchburg, WI 53711
12
           PETER and MARILYN MIESS, 2414 Kathleen Street,
13
     Fitchburg, WI 53711
           DAVID OFF, 5565 Colleen Circle, Fitchburg, WI 53711
14
15
           STEWART and MARYLYN STROUP, 2276 Branson Road,
16
     Oregon, WI 53575
17
           STEVE BOOKS, 211 South 2nd Street, Mount Horeb, WI
18
     53572
19
           RITA BURKE HENRICKS, 5655 Montadale, Fitchburg, WI
20
     53711
2.1
           SUSAN MICHETTI, 605 Sheila Street, Mount Horeb, WI
     53572
22
23
           S.C. and MARIANNE DAGGETT, 2408 Lisa Lane,
24
     Fitchburg, WI 53711
25
           BRIAN AST, 2424 Kathleen Street, Fitchburg, WI 53711
```

```
1
            STEVE ARNOLD, 2530 Targhee Street, Fitchburg, WI
     53711
 2
 3
            ROBERT DUBEAU, 2370 Kathleen Street, Fitchburg, WI
     53711
            JAMES MEADOR, 1623 Sunset Drive, Waukesha, WI 53189
 5
            REBECCA RUSSELL, 5483 Maves Road, Madison, WI 53711
 6
 7
            JOHN BROWN, 1666 County Hwy D, Oregon, WI
            BRAD VOSTERS, 1802 Pankratz Street, Madison, WI
 8
     53704
9
10
            RICHARD and MRS. MEIER, 2387 Kathleen Street,
     Madison, WI 53711
11
            SEAN DOYLE, 5486 Maves Road, Fitchburg, WI 53711
12
13
            TERRY CARPENTER, 2341 Gold Drive, Fitchburg, WI
14
     53711
           DAWN RAMIN, 1400 Raven Oaks Trail, Oregon, WI 53575
15
16
            JOHN T. MILLS, 5498 Jan Drive, Fitchburg, WI 53711
17
           KYLE RICHMOND, 929 O'Sheridan Street, Madison, WI
18
     53715
19
            MARK ROEDER, 5564 Colleen Circle, Fitchburg, WI
20
     53711
            MARILYN WILSON, P.O. Box 5204, Madison, WI 53705
2.1
22
            JOHN P. and CONNIE DARLING, 5481 Irish Lane,
23
     Fitchburg, WI 53711
24
            ANDY JONES, 5545 Shamrock Lane, Fitchburg, WI 53711
25
            JEFFREY J. EDGE, 5484 Jan Drive, Fitchburg, WI 53711
```

```
1
            LETHUY LAWRENCE, 2413 Lisa Lane, Fitchburg, WI 53711
            CAROL A. LANDAHL, 5690 Whalen Road, Fitchburg, WI
 2
 3
     53575
 4
            MONIQUE FABER, 5688 Whalen Road, Fitchburg, WI 53575
            CHAD FABER, 5688 Whalen Road, Fitchburg, WI 53575
 5
            DALE J. HENRICKS, 5655 Montadale Street, Fitchburg,
 6
7
     WI 57311
8
            MICHAEL S. GOODMAN, 540 West Olin Avenue, #211,
9
     Madison, WI 53715-2169
10
           ALYSSA HENRY, 2231 Branson Road, Oregon, WI 53575
11
           RICHARD REESE, 5553 Colleen Circle, Fitchburg, WI
12
     53711
13
           KAREN VERVOORT, 5938 Schumann Circle, Fitchburg, WI
14
            ANN DUBEAU, 2370 Kathleen Street, Fitchburg, WI
15
     53711
16
17
                (FOR INDEX SEE BACK OF TRANSCRIPT.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 EXAMINER MARION: Ladies and gentlemen, at this time I'm going to call the hearing to order. 2 So if I could ask your cooperation and your 3 attention. This is Public Service Commission of 5 Wisconsin docket number PSC 137-CE-146. And the 7 title of this docket is the Application of American Transmission Company As an Electric Public Utility 8 to Construct a New 138 Kilovolt Transmission Line 9 10 From a New Substation in Fitchburg to Be Called the 11 Oak Ridge Substation to the Existing Wisconsin 12 Power & Light Company Verona Substation in Verona, 13 All in Dane County, Wisconsin. 14 I have an appearance slip from Mr. Hughes on behalf of MG&E. Would counsel for other parties 15 16 please state their appearances for the record. 17 STEVE STRECK: Steve Streck and Chuck 18 Cummings appear on behalf of the applicant. 19 EXAMINER MARION: Is there any other party 20 or staff member who wish to make an appearance for 2.1 the record? 22 All right. I would like to explain first 23 who I am and then kind of how we're going to proceed 24 this afternoon. My name is Ed Marion. My title is 25 administrative law judge. And it's my

2.1

responsibility to preside over the hearing this afternoon and also at the second session which will begin at 7 p.m. I want to begin by thanking the City of Fitchburg and the mayor and council for allowing us to use this beautiful facility and especially these chambers.

The purpose of the hearing session this afternoon and again this evening is to receive testimony from any person who is interested in the subject matter of this docket which is a proposal by the American Transmission Company to build the new power line. I know there are many representatives of American Transmission who are present on site here. So if anyone has a question about the project, I encourage them to talk to representatives from the transmission company or also the Public Service Commission staff which has a number of individuals here as well.

The purpose of this hearing is to hear from you and we will be hearing from who we call the technical witnesses next week at the so-called technical hearing sessions, and that's where the parties much like a trial in a court put on their evidence as to the necessity for this project and the opinion of the people proposing it and then some

2.1

of the problems that other folks who have intervened may believe exist.

This is one of a number of transmission lines which either have been proposed or have been discussed publicly in Dane County. And it's not at all unusual for there to be a little bit of confusion regarding which one we're looking at at any given time. This is not the west Middleton to Rockdale line; and if anyone is interested primarily in that project, I don't believe we're anywhere close to being in a position that we're in this afternoon, which is to have testimony from individuals.

There are several ways that interested persons can offer evidence in this docket in this case. One is to testify this afternoon or this evening. Another is to fill out one of these appearance slips, and you probably saw them on the counter outside the front door there. And if you would like to comment and say something about the project, but rather -- would rather do that in writing, you're free to use these forms and then just submit those slips to one of the gentlemen at the desk at the front door. And your comments will be made a part of the record just the same as if you

were to take the witness stand over here and testify.

2.1

In addition, one may file with the

Commission either by mail or by fax or even

electronically comments that you may have, and there

are instructions that are available on the Public

Service Commission web site, and I believe the

deadline for filing comments is this evening at just

about midnight.

I hope that answers most of the questions folks might have had. This is a formal proceeding, and we do have a stenographer who takes down everything that is said. So I do ask people to be aware of that. We only need one person talking at a particular time. If anyone has a question, please feel free to raise your hand and I'll recognize you, I may ask you to identify yourself depending on what your question is so that we have a record that that conversation took place.

But if there is no question at this point, I'm just going to call to the witness stand in the order that I happen to have these appearance slips those individuals who have indicated an interest in testifying. And I'll ask you to please approach the -- approach me as it were, I'll ask to you raise

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

your right hand and I will administer an alternative oath or affirmation so that you can either swear or affirm that the testimony you're going to give is the truth depending on your preference.

And at this time I will ask Beverly Ryder if she wishes to testify. Ms. Ryder.

BEVERLY RYDER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN

EXAMINER MARION: Please have a seat behind one of the microphones. I understand that some people may have documents or other things that they wish to present in evidence. I don't know whether they're comments that are in writing. you have a written statement that's exactly the same as what you're going to testify to and you prefer just to give us the statement, you can do that and don't need to testify. Anything that I receive in writing other than one of these comments on an appearance slip I'm going to mark as an exhibit, and that exhibit will be subject to objection by one of the attorneys if they think it's objectionable. we're going to have to circulate those among the lawyers who are present here for the parties and the Service Commission staff to see if there are any difficulties. It's also possible that there may be an objection to a question that someone asks.

2.1

in the event someone lodges an objection, I will either ask questions about it of the witness or just make a ruling.

Ms. Ryder, you may proceed. Thank you for coming.

#### DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT

BY MS. RYDER: I am a 40-year resident of Hillside Heights. I live at 2428 Lisa Lane. On June 26, '06, we learned that big profit making corporation was coming in to steal from our neighborhood. ATC plans to saddle us with a substation and lines that would forever mar the beauty of our landscape. They had already purchased the land, 75 feet from our front yard, and paid an exorbitant price, well beyond the value for 5.2 unsewered acres. They knew very well that their plans would greatly reduce our modest home values and thus our ability to care for ourselves and our families. Please see attachment A in my notebook here for this particular paragraph. It's my 9/21/06 letter to the Fitchburg Star.

ATC is quick to claim that the health consequences of magnetic fields are unproved. But we are concerned especially about leukemia and brain tumors in children. Please see attachment B. I've

got these all labeled. And B is my letter to the editor of the Capitol Times that was printed of 8/1/06.

2.1

The ugliness alone would break our hearts. Please see attachment C which is the letter I wrote to Alderman Steve Arnold which, you know, mentions this aspect.

The site selection department at ATC made little or no effort to locate three separate non-adjacent sites. All three of their chosen sites are within a stone's throw of each other and stand in the center of Fitchburg beside a busy two-lane highway. How could they think they had done a good job. Rob Lawrence did the early work on an alternate site and kept bringing it up. Then Alderman Jay Allen spent 35 hours detailing the really good solution, using a substation at the Fitchburg Technology Campus with lines buried. The city council approved the spending money to bury the lines and other costs would be covered, partly by TIF districts.

Our city zoning director, Tom Hovel, also likes this alternate option according to his PSC testimony, which I have seen. Please see attachment D which is a 9/9/07 article from the Fitchburg Star.

1 And this is the only article I am including that I 2 didn't write. But it tells about that option. There is also a fourth site that our 3 neighbor Don Moriva, a civil engineer, has presented. It is near ATC's sites, but more hidden 5 in the distant. And Don has a willing to sell statement from the owner. ATC should have tried harder. 8 ATC's autocratic plans for our 9 10 neighborhood and our lives and their pretense of being nice and their open house propaganda efforts 11 12 have caused overwhelming frustration among us. 13 Their modus operandi is pick a site, buy it and cram 14 it down their throats while accusing them of NIMBY. 15 Remember in our case it's NIMFY, front yard. Please 16 see attachment E, my letter to Senator John 17 Erpenbach and Representative Sondy Pope-Roberts printed in the Fitchburg Star 1/25/07. 18 19 In our lovely hilly part of Fitchburg, we

have many individuals with surprising talents.

Artists, photographers, woodworker, writers,
scientists, professors, teachers, et cetera. And
these are people who care about the earth and
maintaining the health and beauty of it. All of
these people must be offended by the haphazard way

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

ATC has singled out this place under the sun for a substation and lines as I am. Just a block east of ATC's preferred sites, I have a big garden that won a contest in 2000. A small city park adjoins our yard. I agree with Emerson when he says if eyes were made for seeing, then beauty is its own excuse for being.

We should be applauded, not criticized for defending with all our hearts the beauty we find here at the junction of these two historic roads, Irish Lane and Fish Hatchery Road. Please see attachment F for the 9/9/00 contest clipping, a garden photo and a published essay by our granddaughter about life on this land as a four-year-old. She has a beautiful essay which I've included. And she remembers lots of things about running around in the yard and how beautiful it was and how it smelled, and here's the picture I've included. This is the contest picture that was on the front of the section of the Capitol Times from that contest. Thank you.

EXAMINER MARION: Thank you very much.

Would counsel like to look at Ms. Ryder's exhibit?

Please do that. I was going to mark together all of the documents that Ms. Ryder is offering as

1	Exhibit
2	MS. RYDER: I'm going to leave this here.
3	EXAMINER MARION: Yes, as Exhibit A.
4	(Witness excused at this time.)
5	EXAMINER MARION: While we're looking at
6	that, I'll call the next witness, please. Ms. Lynne
7	Ballesta, and kindly forgive me in advance for
8	mispronouncing your name as I'm sure I'm going to
9	do.
10	LYNNE BALLESTA PUBLIC DULY SWORN
11	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
12	BY MS. BALLESTA: My name is Lynne
13	Ballesta. I live in the Country Heights
14	neighborhood in Fitchburg. I've been in the same
15	house for about 26 years. My husband and I have
16	owned businesses in Fitchburg for almost 30 years.
17	If the power line is routed down Fish
18	Hatchery Road, it will be 142 feet from my
19	daughter's bedroom. I question the need for these
20	lines and I believe with conservation much of the
21	need would be reduced.
22	I am very concerned about the safety
23	issues and EMF with the transmission lines. In the
24	PSC testimony, it is stated that scientific
25	consensus is that exposure to power frequency EMF is

2.1

not a health hazard. Even though the PSC considers it not a health hazard, the PSC requires utilities to provide information about EMF, perform measures in customers' homes if requested, and contribute to research. If there is no concern or risk, why warn the public? Why take measurements?

If the studies are paid for and contributed to by utilities, the results are not independent. It is like the tobacco companies' research years ago concluding that smoking isn't harmful. There was a study in the U.S. in 1998, the electric power industry contributed approximately half of the \$22.5 million. This study showed a fairly consistent pattern that associated EMF exposure with a small increased risk for leukemia in children. I would assume the utilities would have hoped to find no link between EMF and leukemia, but they couldn't because it exists.

However, if you look at independent studies, you will see another side to this issue. For example, a study in Denver found that children who died of cancer were two to three times more likely to have lived within 131 feet of a power line and that they were exposed to EMF. Would you put your child or grandchild in a house near a power

line? I wouldn't take that chance with my child.

The studies and facts are out there.

2.1

I would like to refer to the testimony that was presented by Marilyn Wilson at the Waunakee public hearing. Her testimony included 1,600 peer review studies and journals concerning the study of this issue and the negative health consequences from all types of frequencies.

The Commission ordered the utilities to submit lists of homes, hospitals, day care schools, nursing homes and workplaces that are near proposed or alternate routes. According to ATC, we don't have anything to worry about concerning EMF and being close to the lines. If that's true, why report the day care centers, et cetera?

There is a day care located on Fish

Hatchery Road. It is a little further away from the lines than my house. I submitted a comment about the location of the day care previously. This is a highly reputable and popular day care center and has been in existence for over 20 years. Most children go there as infants and stay until kindergarten.

Their developing bodies will be exposed hour after hour, day by day, week by week and year after year at least eight hours a day, five days a week, of

constant exposure to EMF.

2.1

I am also concerned about the problems transmission lines cause for people with pacemakers. I personally have atrio fibrillation, an irregular heartbeat. At some point I could need a pacemaker. According to Medtronics, a manufacturer of pacemakers, transmission power lines can affect how pacemakers and defibrillators work. This means that if I should get a pacemaker, my pacemaker may malfunction in my own home or walking in my yard, and of course this would be a very dangerous situation for me.

I of course am also concerned about property values. Most people simply don't want to live near a power line or substation. Therefore, most people don't want to buy a house near them either. I have read that people have slashed their selling prices anywhere from 17 to 53 percent to try to sell their homes. There is a home on my street that has been for sale for over two years. I wonder if the buyers are concerned about the possible power line that may be across the street from the house.

Fitchburg's ad hoc committee met last summer to study the three sites that were picked out by ATC, all on the corner of Fish Hatchery Road and

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Irish Lane. I attended two meetings as an observer. It was clear that the ad hoc committee felt they had to choose one of these three sites, although none were acceptable. Or they feared ATC would pick the absolutely least desirable location.

Over a year ago Rob Lawrence presented an alternative location for the substation in the Fitchburg Technology Campus, FTC, and the transmission lines to be routed on a major highway, Highway 151. There would have been plenty of time to do outreach on this alternative. The committee was forced into making a decision of one of the three unacceptable sites. The decision was supposed to represent what the residents of Fitchburg wanted. It didn't represent what I wanted nor most of my neighbors. In fact, I went door to door twice in the neighborhood. Everyone I spoke with was against the transmission lines going on Fish Hatchery Road. Some people were proud they made a comment at an ATC open house and were under the misguided impression that that's all they needed to do. However, the main consensus was it's a done deal, ATC is going to put the lines wherever they want. They felt it would do no good to speak up.

In the PSC testimony, it states the ad hoc

2.1

committee's recommendation is significant to ATC because it represents the collective judgment of a diverse set of local stakeholders who have a significant interest in the siting issue. If this is true, then you will be pleased to know that there truly is a site a diverse set of local stakeholders prefer, the Fitchburg Technology Campus and routing the line on Highway 151.

The original three sites seem to be chosen because that is where MG&E and AT&T thought the area of growth would be. In 1995 Fitchburg developed a general land use plan and identified four possible growth areas, none of which are near the three sites for the substation. Fitchburg is presently creating a smart growth plan, and it is consistent with the 1995 plan. No growth in the area of the three substation sites.

This alternative location puts the substation close to the expanded demand of the Fitchburg Technology Campus. The FTC is an urban area, not rural open space. The planning commission identifies the FTC as an area of optimum growth.

With the substation located in the FTC, the transmission line could be routed on a major highway, 151, rather than destroying the beauty,

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

serenity and open space that Fitchburg residents In 2005, the city conducted a survey of community residents as part of the city's comprehensive plan. 79 percent of the respondents were supportive of promoting the preservation of open space. Locating the transmission lines on a major highway is consistent with what ATC states they prefer to do. Recognizing the work of ad hoc committee and with new information, the city moved ahead. Alder persons Jay Allen and Steve Arnold developed a resolution that would serve the residents of Fitchburg better and follow the land use plan of Fitchburg. Resolution R-49-07 was introduced and passed. If ATC values the recommendation of a group that represents the collective judgment of a diverse set of local stockholders, this is it. The Fitchburg Technology Campus site and routing the line on Highway 151 are supported by the planning Commission, the city council including the two District 4 alder people and neighborhoods where there has been outreach And the city council has \$6 million in TIF money for undergrounding part of this project. The people of Fitchburg value our open and rural space that exists in south Fitchburg.

1	than putting the substation and transmission lines
2	in this beautiful rural area, please consider the
3	alternatives. The good planning we do now is either
4	the gift or the nightmare that we pass on to our
5	children and grandchildren. Thank you.
6	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you very much,
7	Ms. Ballesta. Counsel, is there any objection to
8	Ms. Ryder's exhibit?
9	MR. HUGHES: No objection from MG&E.
10	EXAMINER MARION: Hearing none, Exhibit A
11	is received.
12	(Exhibit A received.)
13	EXAMINER MARION: Our next witness will be
14	Bonnie Moschkau.
15	BONNIE MOSCHKAU PUBLIC WITNESS DULY SWORN
16	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
17	BY MS. MOSCHKAU: My comments will be much
18	simpler than those that you've heard already. Not
19	quite as elegant, but certainly as sincere. I wish
20	to express my deep concern regarding the proposal of
21	ATC to locate a substation and transmission lines
22	along Fish Hatchery Road corridor, and most
23	specifically the intersection of Irish Lane and Fish
24	Hatchery Road. I have been a resident of Hillside
25	Heights neighborhood for 30 years and I've been a

1 teacher in the Madison schools for 33 years. also had the pleasure of living in Madison all of my 2 life except for six years that my husband served in 3 the air force. I am opposed to the location that ATC has 5 proposed. I have difficulty trusting a company that has a significant vested financial gain in their proposal. And it has used the media and the local 8 9 prominent people such as Al Fish of the University 10 of Wisconsin and lobbyists to promote their 11 position. I believe that they have made their 12 proposal and have pretended to request our input, 13 but are not really interested in listening or 14 considering our questions and concerns. 15 I do not want a substation or electrical 16 power line running through or so close to our 17 neighborhood. A substation at the intersection of Fish Hatchery and Irish will be viewed as an eyesore 18 19 for sure if it were to be constructed. 20 Many others will be able to speak to the 2.1 technical issues involved in this proposal. I am 22 here simply to register my concern and wish that the 23 substation not be built here. Thank you. 24 EXAMINER MARION: Thank you very much. 25 (Witness excused at this time.)

1	EXAMINER MARION: Meg Cates, please.
2	MEG CATES, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
3	EXAMINER MARION: Please be seated and
4	proceed.
5	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
6	BY MS. CATES: Hello. My name is Meg
7	Cates. I live at 2371 Kathleen Street in Hillside
8	Heights. I've lived there for ten years. I have a
9	few summary comments.
10	First of all, I feel this process is not
11	working. We have been asked to voice our opinions
12	regarding the substation. I feel like ATC is going
13	through the motions of listening to the community,
14	but they are listening with their ears covered. I
15	feel that ATC has made up their minds as to where
16	they will build this substation before this
17	so-called process started. We have been presented
18	with some choices, but none of these choices are
19	acceptable to me.
20	The people in our neighborhood have given
21	up some urban benefits in order to enjoy some open
22	space and peaceful views. It's not fair to us to
23	install a substation at the entrance to our
24	neighborhood.
25	A substation so close to Hillside Heights

1 devalues our property substantially. I personally would never choose to buy a home in close proximity 2 to a substation. Studies on health effects of stray 3 electricity are inconclusive. I do not want to take unnecessary risks for my husband and my children by 5 living in close proximity to an electrical 7 substation. When I'm driving through the State of 8 9 Wisconsin, I've noticed substations along the 10 interstate in what I consider the middle of nowhere, 11 no homes, et cetera. I have not seen them right next to residential homes. Fitchburg has an 12 13 abundance of farmland. I feel the substation could 14 be placed in the middle of a field, not along Fish 15 Hatchery Road or right next to a residential 16 neighborhood. If some day that farmland is 17 developed, it will be up to the purchaser if he or 18 she chooses to buy a home in close proximity to a 19 substation. Here, Hillside Heights is not being 20 given a choice. Thank you. 2.1 EXAMINER MARION: Thank you very much. 22 Did you want to leave your --23 MS. CATES: I did clip one onto my --24 EXAMINER MARION: Slip? Yes. You did. Thank you very 25 MS. CATES:

1	much. That's right.
2	(Witness excused at this time.)
3	EXAMINER MARION: Robert Lauer, please.
4	ROBERT LAUER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
5	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
6	BY MR. LAUER: Hello, my name is Robert
7	Lauer. I live on 2407 Lisa Lane. Hillside I'm a
8	member of the Hillside Heights Neighborhood
9	Association. We are also an intervenor, and I'm a
10	contact of for the neighborhood association.
11	In June 2006, I along with my neighbors
12	learned of the proposal that ATC was going to put
13	or proposing to put a 138 volt line down Fish
14	Hatchery Road and site a substation near Irish Lane
15	and Fish Hatchery Road. We learned this at an open
16	house. And at that open house, I was told by ATC
17	representatives that they had just purchased or
18	purchased an option to buy North Parish Gardens
19	which is on Irish Lane and Fish Hatchery Road.
20	Basically the gateway to our neighborhood. It had
21	gone up for sale a month before, I was told that
22	since that was one of their proposed sites they had
23	no choice but to purchase the land.
24	The other two sites that they told me that
25	they were considering was a site owned by Robert

2.1

Mandt, who I was told by ATC representatives was only willing to sell at least 10 acres of land which therefore would make the cost too much -- or it would increase the cost of the site. So that wasn't such a good site. And then the third site was the city site, which at that time they told me the City of Fitchburg was an unwilling seller. So the conclusion of that conversation was most likely the North Parish Gardens site was going to be their preferred site.

I was not the only one there from our neighborhood. A lot of people were there from our neighborhood. After that open house, word got out that there was a really good chance that the substation was going to be on that North Parish Gardens site. That mobilized our neighborhood. We developed a neighborhood association. We had a number of meetings. We went -- since nobody really knew Robert Mandt, Robert Mandt was a private citizen, we really didn't feel we had any pull with that gentleman.

But one of the sites that I was told and other people in my neighborhood was told was the city site was a possible site for the substation.

So a number of representatives from our neighborhood

2.1

went up to the city and started talking to them about this site. And from those discussions, the city decided to look into the matter and form an ad hoc committee on the site, the substation site and also the 138 line. Not the 345 line.

I was a member of that committee as a representative of the Hillside Heights with Mr. Rob Lawrence, another member of Hillside Heights, and other neighborhoods in Fitchburg.

During this time, our neighborhood kept meeting. Our neighborhood never wanted or believed that the substation should be sited on Irish Lane and Fish Hatchery Road. We also didn't necessarily believe that Fish Hatchery Road was the best location for that line. And one of the reasons we felt the substation shouldn't be there is that it's not the center of development. And MG&E and I believe ATC at one point thought it was going to be the center of development and used that as a rationale for putting the substation there.

Also at one point that 138 line was supposed to go down to Montrose. At some point it got switched to Verona, but they never reopened the discussion or did any kind of evaluation when they changed the endpoint to Verona from Montrose, they

just kept on -- with their proposal of putting the 138 line down Fish Hatchery Road.

2.1

The very first meeting of the ad hoc committee, Rob Lawrence brought up -- and this was I believe August 1st -- the possibility of putting the 138 line down Verona Road, using the existing Fitchburg substation on PD near Verona Road, and then building a distribution substation, an MG&E substation at the Tech Campus.

At that meeting, Charles Gonzales was at our meeting, he represented ATC. Rob asked him if that was a possibility. He said, well, I don't know, I'll have to go back and check it out. They were supposed to come back and give us information on August 15th. It was delayed. They came back on August 22nd and then told us that they had looked at that but the cost was way too great and wasn't feasible.

Now, in a rebuttal statement by Sarah

Justus of ATC, she rebuts something that Tom Hovel

and Jay Allen said. Mr. Allen, reading from her

rebuttal, on page 3 of Mr. Allen's direct testimony

and page 4 of Mr. Hovel's direct testimony, they

state the ad hoc committee limited their review of

the substation locations to the three sites proposed

2.1

by ATC. Do you agree that the ad hoc committee only reviewed these three sites. She says no, I do not. In the course of the ad hoc committee meetings, members of the committee suggested alternative locations including the site described by Mr. Moriva in the direct testimony identified therein as Mandt option number 4. It should be noted that Mandt option number 4 was never discussed at the ad hoc committee. There was a Mandt site discussed, but not that option. And the location of the substation at the Fitchburg Technology Campus similar to the proposal in Mr. Allen's testimony.

So she's saying that we did discuss that and we did. But we were relying on ATC's expert resources, and they told us it wasn't feasible. And this is now August 22nd. The application that ATC was going to file with the PSC was going to happen early September. The ad hoc committee at that time felt they had no choice but to decide on only the three substation sites on Irish Lane and Fish Hatchery Road. From those three, we as the ad hoc committee felt the best one was the city. But that was not necessarily what we thought should happen. Because when we discussed the proposal to send the 138 line down Verona Road, there was a lot of

1	agreement in that committee. We could not deal with
2	that because we were running out of time, we
3	depended on ATC's expertise, and they were telling
4	us it wasn't feasible.
5	So I mean our neighborhood does feel that
6	it needs to be considered the proposal about Jay
7	Allen's proposal needs to be seriously considered
8	for a lot of reasons I stated. I think that's all I
9	have to say.
10	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you very much,
11	Mr. Lauer.
12	(Witness excused at this time.)
13	EXAMINER MARION: Rebecca Zuba, please.
14	REBECCA ZUBA, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
15	EXAMINER MARION: Can I ask you, Ms. Zuba,
16	a question first. I could have asked Mr. Lauer as
17	well. I see on your appearance slip that you're
18	representing the Hillside Heights Neighborhood
19	Association; is that correct?
20	MS. ZUBA: Correct.
21	EXAMINER MARION: And Hillside Heights as
22	has been noted is a party in the proceeding. Have
23	you offered pre-filed testimony as a witness for
24	next week's technical hearing session?
25	MS. ZUBA: No, I have not.

1 EXAMINER MARION: Are you testifying as an 2 individual today or on behalf of the association? MS. ZUBA: Given an option I would say 3 both, but I don't know how the proceedings work. EXAMINER MARION: I see we have 5 Ms. Russell has also stated that she represents Hillside Heights, and I don't know if there are others here, I see one more. Here's what I want to 8 9 say to you, ma'am, and to the other folks who've 10 indicated that they represent Hillside Heights. The 11 way we divided up the receipt of evidence, that 12 whole process as the representatives who've attended 13 our pre-hearing conferences in other matters know is 14 that parties to the docket, formal parties have the responsibility of pre-filing in question and answer 15 16 format the testimony that they have and then that 17 evidence is offered at the technical hearing session and the witness is present there to identify it and 18 19 is subject to cross examination. Within reasonable limits, I will allow individuals to testify on their 20 2.1 own behalf and not representing any party at this 22 public hearing session. And I ask you please to not 23 repeat evidence that is in -- has been pre-filed by 24 your association and also not to take issue with 25 evidence that was filed by another witness in the

2.1

case. The fact that that's on record and is available for people to look at is to help them prepare. But the way I have decided that we're going to receive the evidence is to have the parties to the lawsuit, if you will, to the docket do that next week during regular business hours.

So I hope that's understandable, and with that observation please proceed.

#### DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT

BY MS. ZUBA: My name is Rebecca Zuba. I live at 5493 Jan Drive, Fitchburg, Wisconsin, and am a member of the Hillside Heights Neighborhood Association. I've lived at this address for almost 18 years.

Along with my testimony today, I would like to submit into the record two documents that were provided to the Public Service Commission on February 13th, 2007, on behalf of the Hillside Heights Neighborhood Association, as well as other relevant property value documents.

Exhibit 1 is a petition signed by the majority of the residents in our neighborhood, approximately 50 homes, in the summer of 2006. This is when we first learned of ATC's proposal to locate a substation and transmission lines in our

2.1

neighborhood. This petition states in part the following: "The petition in opposition to the proposed American Transmission Company projects for Fitchburg, specifically, in opposition to the location of a transmission/distribution substation at the intersection of Irish Lane and Fish Hatchery Road. In opposition to the location of a north/south 138 kilovolt transmission line along Fish Hatchery Road."

It further states "We, the undersigned residents of the City of Fitchburg, do hereby respectfully petition the City of Fitchburg to take immediate action on the above items. Specifically we request that, 1, you enter into formal discussions with ATC to aggressively oppose the above locations/sites; and 2, actively work to find alternate locations/sites that are more suitable to the proposed type of activity and correspond with the city's image of itself."

Exhibit 2 is a letter to the PSC that addresses the serious concerns about ATC's proposal that the residents in our neighborhood expressed in February 2007. These very concerns and the continued public demand for a comprehensive review of different substation locations and the line

routes led the PSC staff to explore proposed alternatives and extend the initial approval time line.

2.1

Our neighborhood supports the location of the MG&E substation in the Fitchburg Technology Campus and the re-routing of transmission lines along Verona Road. This alternative proposal is supported by our city alders, other Fitchburg neighborhoods, and the City of Fitchburg planning department staff.

Our opposition to ATC's proposal as submitted is based on the premise that the proposal represents an unfair burden to the community. This assessment is based primarily on the following reasons. Number one, the demand for energy is not being created by the area in which the location of the substation is being proposed. All three of the ATC proposed sites are a direct -- are directly adjacent our neighborhood, an area which is outside the urban services area and excluded in the city's next 50-year urban growth plan. Due to strict restrictions on development outside the urban services area, all growth in Fitchburg in the foreseeable future will be within the urban services area.

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

According to MG&E, a distribution site is more efficient the closer it is to the area it is serving. Therefore, we submit that the substation should be located in an area of demand growth, not in an area where no growth has occurred nor is anticipated for decades. Why should our well established neighborhood have to host the substation's physical infrastructure without any contribution to the reason for its existence.

Number two, the power transmission needs are primarily for Verona, not Fitchburg. ATC's plan for transmission of 138 kilovolt power to the Verona substation from the proposed Oak Ridge substation is based on an identified need for that power in Verona. ATC's plan has over 80 percent of the transmission line routes inside the City of Fitchburg, and the remaining part of the line mostly in the Town of Verona. The line route itself goes through central Fitchburg when both of the substations to be connected are to the west of Fitchburg or in the western Fitchburg area. ATC's proposed line routes are neither the shortest possible route nor are they the routes of least impact on Fitchburg.

With the primary purpose of delivering

2.1

power to Verona, a line route should have the least impact on Fitchburg to be fair to the citizens of Fitchburg. This is possible as well as having the more direct route by locating the line in the western part of Fitchburg and the eastern part of the Town of Verona. This area is mostly rural and has existing transportation and an infrastructure corridor that if chosen would have less impact on Fitchburg.

Number three, we do not believe that due diligence by ATC in exploring more suitable locations for the substation and line routes was done once a willing seller in our neighborhood was identified. ATC representatives repeatedly stated at public meetings that they located this project in this area in part because they found a willing seller. ATC's purchase price for this property they now own at the intersection of Irish Lane and Fish Hatchery Road was significantly higher than the assessed value for this property.

I submit Exhibit 3, Dane County Registrar of Deeds assessment values for the parcels purchased by ATC on November 11th, 2006. By my calculations, the purchase price of these two parcels exceeded the documented assessed value by 463 percent. Not many

2.1

people when made such an offer could afford to refuse it, even if the intended use for the land is not in the best interest of their neighbors.

In contrast, Exhibit 4 shows the fair market value of my personal property based on a June 2006 real estate appraisal is a mere 12 percent higher than the assessed value. We ask the commissioners, is this how public policy on projects of this magnitude and impact to the citizens is determined, based on corporate entity's ability to utilize their vast resources.

At this point, other willing property sellers have been identified, and more suitable locations for both the substation and the line routes have been proposed and studied. We urge the PSC to ensure that because ATC currently owns one of the proposed sites, that this factor does not unduly influence your decision about where the substation and transmission lines should be located.

If the PSC does not represent the interests of the citizens by keeping corporate entities in check, who I ask will. I as a citizen of Fitchburg and speaking on behalf of the residents of the Hillside Heights neighborhood assert that it is in the public interest that our concerns be given

1	serious consideration by the PSC commissioners in
2	reviewing this application. Thank you for allowing
3	me to speak on this important issue.
4	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you, Ms. Zuba. I
5	want to ask you, the exhibits that you referred to,
6	are these all filed already?
7	MS. ZUBA: No, none of them are.
8	EXAMINER MARION: Okay. Then
9	MS. ZUBA: I have them here.
10	EXAMINER MARION: Okay. Thank you. If
11	you could let me ask a member of the staff to be
12	available to take documents from the witnesses and
13	show them to the attorneys. Thank you very much.
14	I'm marking this as Exhibit B.
15	(Exhibit B was marked.)
16	EXAMINER MARION: And our next witness
17	will be Mr. Robert Lawrence.
18	ROBERT LAWRENCE, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
19	EXAMINER MARION: Exhibit B is available
20	for counsel to look at.
21	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
22	BY MR. LAWRENCE: My name is Rob Lawrence.
23	I'm a resident of Hillside Heights. I'd like to
24	read from a prepared statement that I have I will
25	submit later.

2.1

For many of the neighbors in the Hillside
Heights neighborhood, the ATC open house on June 26,
2006, was the start of our involvement in the 138
kilovolt line substation project planned for
Fitchburg. The common reaction to the open house
was of disbelief and a feeling of disenfranchisement
in what was being planned for the land adjacent to
our neighborhood.

ATC's open house was not presented so much as a forum for planning and community participation, rather it was used to inform the unfortunate people who live near enough to where they intended to build that this project was going to happen with or without their consent.

The neighbors of Hillside Heights decided to do something about this threat to our neighborhood. The plan had all of its substation sites located around the intersection of Irish Lane and Fish Hatchery Road, which is the entrance to our neighborhood. So clearly the Hillside Heights neighborhood would be gravely impacted by this project. How is it fair to place the majority of a project's infrastructure right next to an established neighborhood bearing in mind that this infrastructure will most likely become permanent

features that will forever be associated with the neighborhood.

2.1

The neighbors decided to bring this threat to our district representatives and the mayor of Fitchburg, as ATC did not seem interested in any alternatives to what they had proposed. The mayor decided to form an ad hoc committee on substation planning to directly address the ATC 138 kilovolt project. This committee comprised of representatives of four neighborhoods that were adjacent to or near the planned lines and our substation site. It also comprised of representative of District 4 Steve Arnold and the mayor of Fitchburg Tom Clauder. Other Fitchburg city staff did attend and participate including Tom Hovel and Paul Weir (phonetic).

I served on this committee as a representative of the Hillside Heights neighborhood from August 2006 to November 2006 and attended and participated in every meeting of the committee.

This committee had on several occasions but not every occasion attendance of representatives of ATC and MG&E. Of those attendees and on those occasions Charles Gonzales appeared the most frequently and spoke the most frequently. Sarah Justus attended a

2.1

few times and hardly participated. Mark Williamson never attended a single meeting. Mark K. Weires to my recollection did not attend a meeting and if he did I do not recollect any participation.

The committee did have interaction with the representatives of ATC and MG&E. This mostly comprised of their restating of the project as was already available from the open house and at the ATC web site. The committee did have, on occasions that ATC did attend, and on the rare occasion that MG&E did attend, to ask questions on the nature of the project and to in turn sometimes but not always to receive answers to those questions.

On the first meeting of the ad hoc committee on August 1st, 2006, after having listened to ATC's briefing on the project, I had asked the ATC representatives why the 138 kilovolt project had changed its destination from the Montrose substation to the Verona substation without having restudied the project. To which I never received a clear answer. I also asked that they do a new study on this project since they were basing it on assumptions from a completely different project. I was told that the project would not be restudied.

I also asked why there were no

2.1

geographically separate alternatives in this project. Note that the project has all of its substation sites on three corners of the same road intersection of Irish Lane and Fish Hatchery Road.

Note also that this project has its transmission line routes along Fish Hatchery Road running on both sides. The sites and routes are obviously not geographic alternatives.

I also asked that ATC study and report back to the committee on alternative substation sites and routes, in particular a transmission line along Verona Road and a substation site in the vicinity of the Fitchburg Technology Campus as these would be geographically distinct from the current proposals, along with having other notable qualities. The ATC representative indicated that they would look into this request.

Note that ATC briefed the committee that they would be filing their application on the project in November and didn't indicate that it was possible for recommendations of the committee to be included in their application. Note also that the committee on the insistence of the mayor had a finite life span that was to meet at the least until November when ATC indicated it would be filing its

2.1

application. Note also that the committee being comprised of community volunteers did not have a technical staff to support its efforts and did not independently have the capability to thoroughly investigate alternatives to what ATC was proposing. Note also that the committee neighborhood representatives were to report back to and receive direction from their respective neighborhoods.

neighborhoods, this left the possibility open that the original ATC project plan could be altered through the work of the ad hoc committee. At the committee meeting on August 21st, 2006, ATC did report back on the alternative route along Verona Road and the alternative substation site in the vicinity of the Fitchburg Technology Campus. The report was oral. No written data or information was presented. The report consisted of a total estimated cost of 30 million as opposed to their estimated cost of 21 million for their proposed project. I subsequently asked for written data on this, was promised that it would be delivered. Note that this was never delivered.

I also asked if they would be studying the alternative site and route and was told that they

2.1

had already studied the route along Verona Road as part of their study of the 345 kilovolt line project and that MG&E had already studied the site at Fitchburg Technology Campus previously when they were looking to locate a distribution substation in 2005. They also said that they would not be doing any further studying of those alternatives. I then asked them to be included as alternatives in their application to the PSC, to which they declined.

In retrospect it also turns out that ATC did do public outreach on the Verona Road line route again as part of their 345 kilovolt line study.

Some of these comments can be found on the PSC web site as testimonies from Charles Gonzales.

In retrospect MG&E did also a public outreach on their original plans for a distribution substation in the Oak Ridge area, including the Fitchburg Technology Campus in 2005.

In summation, according to ATC and MG&E they had already studied the alternative line along Verona Road and substation at the Fitchburg Technology Campus. They had conducted some public outreach. They declined to assist the ad hoc committee in any further study of alternatives when asked, and they declined to add other alternatives

2.1

to their application when asked by the ad hoc committee. Note that this occurred before ATC submitted their application to the PSC on the 138 kilovolt line and before ATC was finished with their open houses.

Contrast their treatment of alternatives to the discussion of the proposed sites and line routes. When asked, ATC did provide some data on their substation sites and routes going in and out of those substation sites. In the same amount of time, if ATC had taken -- been a serious planning partner they could have provided some level of data and study on the alternatives. If ATC had taken the ad hoc committee seriously, they could have included alternatives to their existing sites and routes which would have been a true alternative to what they had proposed.

In the end, even the recommendations of the ad hoc committee on the ATC proposed sites and routes were not adopted by ATC even though it was made well before ATC submitted their application.

In fact, it was not until after ATC submitted their application, and the PSC study process was being conducted, that in May 2007 ATC decided to change their primary site to the site recommended by the ad

hoc committee in November 2006.

2.1

Note that one of the primary reasons given to the ad hoc committee by Charles Gonzales for not changing their application at that time, even though it had not yet been submitted, was that it would be too difficult to change the existing references in the document from North Parish Gardens to the site near the old town hall.

In the end ATC did not alter their plans for the 138 kilovolt line and substation even though they participated to some extent with the ad hoc committee and had many opportunities to hear objections by the residents of neighborhoods, committee members and city staff to what they had proposed, and to hear of alternatives that would have not had to have been their primary choice, but at least could have been part of their application and thus available to the PSC to decide upon. They decided to leave their application unaltered.

The decision by ATC left the neighborhood with no alternative but to become involved in the PSC process after the application had been submitted. It also left the city with little choice but to become involved in the PSC process since the attempt by both residents and city government to

2.1

work with ATC before their application was submitted to try and alter it was not taken seriously by ATC.

I along with other neighbors got involved in the application process at the PSC through posting comments on the web site and by submitting documents to the PSC. We also stayed involved in our local government by reporting on the process at city council meetings and in correspondence with our district alders Jay Allen and Steve Arnold. We also stayed involved with other neighborhoods that could be affected by holding two neighborhood meetings and having direct correspondence with each other.

Our efforts to be included in the PSC process also led to our neighborhood becoming an intervenor in the case and submitting technical testimony.

The Hillside Heights neighborhood has tried to be part of the process even before the PSC phase by working with the City of Fitchburg via the ad hoc committee and directly -- and working with ATC via the ad hoc committee. The neighborhood has also tried to be part of the formal PSC process by submitting options, petitions and other documents to the PSC via its web site or directly and as an intervenor.

1	Clearly the neighborhood has followed this
2	process and tried to influence it because the
3	outcome of ATC's proposed project is so very
4	detrimental to our neighborhood. We are being asked
5	to accept a burden that is unacceptable and is not
6	fair that we should be asked to accept it.
7	Following I have several pages of which
8	are direct citations of comments I made on the PSC
9	web site. I won't be reading those, but I'll submit
10	those as part of this.
11	EXAMINER MARION: And if it's something
12	that you've already filed, you don't need to submit
13	it again.
14	MR. LAWRENCE: It was submitted as a
15	comment on the web site.
16	EXAMINER MARION: Then, as I said, if
17	you've already filed it, it will be considered as if
18	you presented it this afternoon. You don't need to
19	do it twice.
20	(Witness excused at this time.)
21	EXAMINER MARION: Phyllis Fosshage,
22	please.
23	MS. FOSSHAGE: I have attached a form out
24	there. So I'd just as soon not talk.
25	EXAMINER MARION: Okay. I have something

1	that said you wish to testify. You've changed your
2	mind?
3	MS. FOSSHAGE: Yes.
4	EXAMINER MARION: Okay. Thank you very
5	much. Gary Hammen.
6	GARY HAMMEN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
7	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
8	BY MR. HAMMEN: My name is Gary Hammen.
9	I'm a resident of Hillside Heights for 30 years. I
10	live at 2397 Kathleen Street, Fitchburg.
11	I wish to address the first two of four
12	issues in the docket today. In essence it is asked
13	if, number one, the proposed project will satisfy
14	the reasonable needs of the public for adequate
15	supply of electricity as required under Wisconsin
16	statutes for future requirements while considering
17	efficiency and cost of this service. We don't know
18	because I'm not formally educated in this field.
19	Over the past year and a half from what
20	I've heard from those who have extensive knowledge
21	in this area, I would view this proposal as
22	unnecessary and overkill. The PSC should already
23	have had any answers to this question on their desks
24	prior to this hearing from unbiased and trained
25	experts. Not from ATC which is an organization

whose very existence is based on growth and expansion of electrical infrastructure.

2.1

I believe their employees have taken the easy way out while investigating and exploring where the best locations and power lines should be located. This was clearly done without regard for citizens and residents in these areas who may be adversely affected by these decisions.

For example, we were told that a site on the west side of Fish Hatchery Road across from the proposed substation site at the Parish Gardens property was not available for purchase from the owner. A few days later my neighbor visited the site, walked off the distances of the perimeter and contacted the owner. He was informed that contrary to what ATC told us, the property would be considered for sale.

Because of the great power given to ATC, I believe that they may take the easy way out and assume that we will accept what they tell us as bottom line facts. They were wrong. Just because a property that has an owner willing to sell doesn't mean that locating a potentially health threatening substation on it is the right thing to do. This is an example of what a for-profit entity is capable of

doing to further their profits and maintain their existence. People should be considered over profits. This whole process is flawed.

2.1

Number two, are there cost effective, technically feasible and environmentally sound alternatives to building the new transmission lines and substations per the Wisconsin statutes. I have concluded from the information received over the past year and a half that the answer is yes, it would be technically feasible to mandate wherever possible or practical that incandescent bulbs be replaced with fluorescent bulbs. Monetary incentives to offset the cost of florescent bulbs should be provided to all customers along with clear information as to where they can be purchased.

Do a better job of promoting direct load control for all customers. Make use of better wire technology that is more energy efficient.

I want to thank you for listening to my comments today. If you're serious about getting meaningful answers to these two questions on the docket today, you may want to join with those of us who support the idea that ATC should be a -- should not be a for-profit company, but should be a nonprofit entity with unbiased viewpoints that

1	benefit consumers of power as the Wisconsin state
2	legislature originally intended. Thank you.
3	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you, Mr. Hammen.
4	(Witness excused at this time.)
5	EXAMINER MARION: Roger Cole, please.
6	Excuse me, does Mr. Cole wish to testify? Yes, you
7	do. Exhibit B is received.
8	(Exhibit B received.)
9	ROGER COLE, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
10	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
11	BY MR. COLE: Thank you for the
12	opportunity to provide testimony this afternoon. My
13	name is Roger Cole. My home address is E12805 West
14	Point Drive, Merrimac, Wisconsin, 53561. I
15	presently serve as chairman of the Wisconsin Utility
16	Investors, or WUI. This organization serves over
17	16,000 members. WUI is an independent nonprofit
18	organization comprised of shareowners of the five
19	Wisconsin investor owned electric utilities. This
20	proposed 138 kV transmission line from Fitchburg to
21	Verona is very much a need to meet the area's
22	projected electric demand in the coming years. The
23	state's aging and congested transmission systems
24	makes importing power from one state to the other or
25	from one location to another far more problematic,

2.1

less cost effective and less dependable than it has been in the past. This project will reinforce the electric system in Dane County that brings power to so many new and existing businesses and homes in a fast growing county.

If we are going to encourage continued growth in the area, then we must also be willing to provide the infrastructure needed to support that growth. This project has been load studied from an engineering and environmental standpoint over several years and has been shown to have sound system requirements to move forward.

I am aware that you have and will hear from different people or groups that question if this project is even necessary, or should it be moved to another location, be it homeowners, environmental groups or others. But keep in mind that at the end of the day the folks that will be held responsible when the electric system cannot meet the public's electrical demands in this county will be the utilities, ATC and the Wisconsin Public Service Commission.

The shareholder members of the Wisconsin
Utility Investors strongly support this project and
look forward to its completion. Thank you for your

1	time.
2	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you, Mr. Cole.
3	(Witness excused at this time.)
4	EXAMINER MARION: Lawrence Ryder, please.
5	LAWRENCE RYDER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
6	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
7	BY MR. RYDER: My name is Lawrence Ryder.
8	I live at 2428 Lisa Lane, which is in Hillside
9	Heights. And our location, our residence is the
10	closest to the preferred site by ATC to locate their
11	substation. We live the front corner of our
12	property, the northwest corner of our property is
13	approximately 75 feet from the purchased ATC
14	purchased property. We're diagonally across the
15	intersection of Lisa Lane and Irish Lane from the
16	ATC property for their substation. It's about
17	approximately 75 feet across.
18	If you don't mind, Your Honor, I'd like to
19	keep my testimony on a more informal basis. We have
20	had a lot of expert testimony here and I'd rather
21	just keep my comments on a more informal basis.
22	And in that regard, I'd like to read from
23	a letter I wrote to ATC approximately well, over
24	a year ago. And if you don't mind, I would like to
25	read some excerpts from that.

2.1

August 4th, 2006. My wife and I were appalled to learn of your plans to purchase the Schraufnagel (phonetic) property, which is the purchased property by ATC now, for the purpose of constructing a five acre electrical substation. We do not understand why ATC would consider such a location in such close proximity to our Hillside Heights residential subdivision of over 40 homes. This would not only create detrimental effects on property values but on the health and lives of all within our neighborhood.

I am sure you are aware that recent medical studies and research have shown that exposure to electromagnetic fields and other forms of dirty electricity created by high voltage lines and related substation equipment, transformers and so on, can have serious health effects on humans. David Carpenter of the State University of New York's public health says it is beyond doubt that exposure to electromagnetic fields is associated with all cancers and most clearly leukemia and brain tumors.

And by the way, I believe Mr. Carpenter was also in charge of the New York electrical transmission brokering.

2.1

Of all the residential properties in
Hillside Heights, ours is nearest to your proposed
substation. The northwest corner of our property is
about 75 feet from the southeast corner of your
substation property across the intersection of Irish
Lane and Lisa Lane. We would therefore be affected
the most by the dangerous hazards and loss of
aesthetic value to our property.

My wife and I have been retired for several years and reside here 24 hours a day seven days a week on a year-round basis. We would not be able to get away from the effects, any effects from the electromagnetic field. Your substation would make us choose between abandoning our home to escape the health hazards, or gamble and --.

(Interruption in proceedings - sirens.)

MR. RYDER: My wife and I have been retired for several years and reside here 24 hours a day seven days a week on a year-round basis. Your substation would make us choose between abandoning our home to escape the health hazards or gamble and remain here in fear the rest of our lives.

We designed and built this home almost 40 years ago to provide a quality life for our four children. Because I had the knowledge, a degree in

2.1

industrial education, and skills, I personally did most of the construction work while maintaining a full-time job. I spent countless hours over many years completing it.

And I won't read, there is a few paragraphs in reference to what makes the home unique and out of the ordinary, features that I added as I built it. It's in the letter which I'll submit. But I'll pass it over to save time, so forth.

In addition -- oh, yeah. This is pretty much a description of the things we did, many unique features, too numerous to describe in this letter, so on.

Years ago most of the view from the front of our home across Lisa Lane was destroyed by the construction of a metal commercial building complete with dumpsters and industrial equipment. The only remaining pleasant view is the Schraufnagel property which is now owned by ATC. With its flowers in summer and open space in the winter, your substation would destroy this last remaining view.

A little more description on that. Our living room and dining room area in front of our home, directly across the street from the living and

2.1

dining room area is the metal building as I've described here. We planted fir trees to break that view, which does a pretty effective job so you don't have to look at that. The only open view we have is directly at where the substation would be located. And we go by there 50 times a day because we walk through the house and that's what you see. The only open area. And we would be reminded of this constantly.

In addition, our neighbors and all those who commute daily on Irish Lane and Fish Hatchery Road on their way to the city would be exposed to the substation's ugliness at what has become a major intersection, especially during early morning and late afternoon traffic.

If your substation becomes a reality at this location, we would be expecting compensation not only for the large loss of value to our property, but also for the emotional stress, relocation and total disruption to our lives. And at my age I would be unable to duplicate this home elsewhere, nor could I afford to have someone else do it for me.

We feel that the protection of human and animal life should be ATC's primary priority in its

2.1

location of transmission lines and substations. And all other considerations, land value, profits, et cetera, should be secondary.

Please consider other corridors with the least amount of exposure to human habitation as possible. Such a route might be Verona Road south to Whalen Road east as an example, locating the substation in an isolated are along Whalen Road. This was written over a year ago and these -- it still expresses my feelings.

Because we are right across the road, diagonally across the road, I constructed a sign, you've probably seen it, right in my front yard facing the ATC property. And there are articles written, there have been many articles written about this problem we have in our neighborhood.

I'm a 40-year resident of Hillside Heights and have been retired approximately 16 years. In summary, I'd like to just state where I am extremely concerned about the harmful effects of electromagnetic fields in such close proximity to the substation. And I'm also concerned about the very substantial loss in property value due to the close proximity. And the loss of aesthetic value to Hillside Heights neighborhood is another concern.

1	And the view as I described from the front of our
2	home, living room, dining room areas would be
3	totally obstructed by a substation.
4	And I feel in my opinion that the
5	Fitchburg Technology Campus would provide the best
6	location for the substation with the least exposure
7	to human habitation. To me that is primary, my
8	primary concern, keep it away from people. I thank
9	you, Your Honor.
10	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you, very much,
11	Mr. Ryder. Would it be possible to have that copy
12	of the letter that you read from?
13	MR. RYDER: Yes, you can have the whole
14	packet.
15	EXAMINER MARION: You want to give me all
16	of that, okay.
17	(Exhibit C was marked.)
18	(Witness excused at this time.)
19	EXAMINER MARION: Mr. Allen, Jay Allen,
20	please.
21	JAY ALLEN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
22	MR. STRECK: Your Honor, Mr. Allen has
23	filed pre-filed testimony on behalf of Hillside
24	Heights Neighborhood Association. I don't think
25	it's appropriate for him to speak here as well.

1	EXAMINER MARION: Mr. Streck, I didn't
2	MR. STRECK: I don't think it's
3	appropriate for him to speak here as well.
4	EXAMINER MARION: Mr. Allen, you are a
5	witness in this case and available for cross
6	examination next week. Were you intending, I
7	presume you were, to attend the technical hearing
8	session so that your evidence could be offered?
9	MR. ALLEN: Yes, sir.
LO	EXAMINER MARION: Is there anything that
11	you wanted to say today that you think is more
12	appropriate in this setting than in your written
13	testimony, if you could just summarize it for me. I
14	don't want you to repeat it, sir.
15	MR. ALLEN: No, I understand. I realize
16	that this information goes that all this
17	information is out there and we don't want to have a
18	lot of duplication.
19	SUMMARY STATEMENT
20	BY MR. ALLEN: Essentially what I wanted
21	to point out is that the City of Fitchburg Common
22	Council does not support this particular site.
23	There has been a number of flaws in the process
24	which are detailed in a number of other pieces of
25	testimony. And our desire is that the PSC would

1	deny this application and begin a process of looking
2	at some other options. That's really all I wanted
3	to point out today.
4	EXAMINER MARION: Okay. Thank you very
5	much for your understanding.
б	(Witness excused at this time.)
7	EXAMINER MARION: I'll say to you now,
8	Mr. Streck, and to any other attorney who makes an
9	objection, you're free to renew that as a motion to
10	strike next week. Ms. Hottenroth.
11	MS. HOTTENROTH: Your Honor, I did not
12	wish to give testimony.
13	EXAMINER MARION: I see that. Thank you.
14	Tony Hartmann, please.
15	PSC STAFF: He had to leave. He'll be
16	returning this evening.
17	EXAMINER MARION: Richard Doerfer. Oh,
18	that's a no too. Anneliese Emerson.
19	ANNELIESE EMERSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
20	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
21	BY MS. EMERSON: My name is Anneliese
22	Emerson, and many of you have seen me at ATC's open
23	houses where I with my neighbors and friends pass
24	out literature and at public events such as this
25	where I videotape to document what is not reported

to the public by much of our media.

2.1

My focus has always been on the public health risks of the EMF which the utility industry continues to deny. I come forward today to warn you by speaking from my own experience. For the last 27 years I have worked in a steel building at our business in an area already surrounded by power lines, cell phone towers and a TV tower right outside my office window. And then I go home every night and sleep in a bedroom with another TV tower visible through our bedroom windows in our home with power lines in front of the house. I also use a cell phone because I've been caring for a mother with Alzheimer's the last eight years.

In the last 26 years, I have slowly become more fatigued, I have body pains and a horrible concentration, brain fog, even to the point where I have trouble speaking. And so -- I also spend more time, it takes me more time to get things done.

Early last year, I was asked to film a presentation and heard about this issue for the very first time and through my own research have discovered the magnitude of studies that this PSC and the utilities are withholding from the public and denying the health risks to us all.

2.1

I have also witnessed the silence about this issue in the media, and that is one reason that I document these events. The media overall is doing an inadequate job, a lousy job, except for a few courageous individuals in papers such as the Fitchburg Star, The Isthmus and the Capital Times where I daily read the quote from William Efview (phonetic), the founding editor, let the people have the truth and the freedom to discuss it, and all will go well.

My parents and I immigrated to the United States in 1952 for the promise of a new life in a democracy. Every night after dinner, my father would sit down at the dining room table to watch the evening news and to read the newspaper with a dictionary by his side. He relied on the newspaper to bring him the news. I do also. And that's why I feel betrayed, as you all should, when a newspaper chooses to withhold information that affects us all. Unless they report the truth, they have become merely a mouthpiece of propaganda for the special interests.

I am amazed when I express my consternation and learn that people just accept this as a reality. But should it be? By withholding

2.1

facts, the public has no way of becoming informed and also has no reason to be outraged because they truly are being kept in the dark. How can a newspaper call itself a newspaper when it doesn't report the news.

I would like to cite a very recent example where on July 25th, this summer, when Kyle Richmond called for a press conference on the capitol steps, he, Sondy Pope-Roberts, Spencer Black, Therese Berso, Patrick Miles, Matt Beldron and citizens met and they explained why they were calling for a request for a legislative audit of ATC.

That morning before the press conference, the Wisconsin State Journal did report that Dane County supervisors will call for audit of ATC. Since I hadn't heard of any follow-up reports of the event, I inquired with two reporters a month later if I had missed anything. And I was told, yes, there were two reports. But after they E-mailed me the links, one was the report that I just referred to and the other was an editorial by the Wisconsin State Journal on July 27th which said opponents of ATC have thrown everything but the kitchen sink in the way of the utility's plans to ensure that the Madison area continues to receive an adequate,

reliable supply of electricity.

2.1

Since that editorial, several people sent letters and which weren't printed. But instead they received personal replies from the editorial staff. Also, several Madison news stations covered it and very briefly, one or two sentences by Kyle Richmond, and the remainder was devoted to ATC spokesperson Frank Veneci (phonetic). If you were there, you know that this coverage was inadequate.

So the public allows this all to continue because of the corporate media's withholding of information. But there are many who do know that this is happening and feel helpless. But we must not remain silent. We must speak up on behalf of our children and future generations who will lose the freedom we once had.

I'm extremely concerned about the silence of the media overall. The public is kept unaware of the implications and the consequences that these lines will have for future generations. The utility's scientists claim that because it can't be proven with scientific certainty, there are no proven risks. But this is a loophole for the utilities to continue with business as usual while the people assume the risks and are often the

victims, such as was in the case of tobacco and asbestos.

2.1

We need to implement the precautionary principle approach and the utility should bear the burden of proof to prove that it is safe to continue as usual. Prudent avoidance measures must be taken to protect the unsuspecting public, and the most vulnerable among us are children who are most susceptible because they are still growing, their skulls are softer, they're not fully developed.

I have copies of some very recent and important studies in the back. Please become informed and speak up and demand that the government bodies, such as the PSC, that are supposed to look out for us do.

I just want to tell you that I was going to put them in the back, but I was instructed that Tony Roach said there could be no other tables accept by the PSC. One of the studies that is very important, I have the front page of them and I also have a sign-up sheet if anyone would like me to E-mail them the link, the 21 minute link that I put on U-Tube, and you would like to see this -- the press conference that Kyle Richmond had on the capitol steps, I would be glad to send it to you.

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

But the one study is in here and it's hundreds of pages and it was just released in August of this And it was -- let me just read a couple of paragraphs. An international working group of scientists, researchers and public health policy professionals, the bio initiative working group, has released its report on electromagnetic fields and It raises serious concern about the safety of existing public limits that regulate how much EMF is allowable from power lines, cell phones and many other sources of EMF exposure in daily life. August 31st documentation documents the scientific evidence that power line EMF exposure is responsible for hundreds of new cases of childhood leukemia every year in the U.S. and all around the world. The report documents scientific evidence raising worries about childhood leukemia from power lines and other electrical exposures, brain tumors and acoustic neuromas from cell and cordless phones and There is evidence that EMF is Alzheimer's disease. a risk factor for both childhood and adult cancers.

Here too, David Carpenter that one gentleman referred to, the director of Institute For Health and Environmental at the University of Albany New York says we need to educate people and our

1	decision makers that business as usual is
2	unacceptable. Co-editor of the report, Cindy Sage
3	of Sage Associates says public health and EMF policy
4	experts have now given their opinion of the weight
5	of evidence. The existing FCC and international
6	limits for public and occupational exposure to
7	electromagnetic fields and radiofreqency radiation
8	are not protective of public health. New
9	biologically
10	EXAMINER MARION: Ms. Emerson, excuse me,
11	I hate to interrupt, could you just slow down a
12	little.
13	MS. EMERSON: Okay.
14	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you. I appreciate
15	it.
16	MS. EMERSON: I will give you a copy too.
17	EXAMINER MARION: Okay. Great.
18	MS. EMERSON: But not today because
19	EXAMINER MARION: All right.
20	MS. EMERSON: New biologically based
21	public and occupational exposure are recommended to
22	address bioeffects and potential adverse health
23	effects of chronic exposure. These effects are now
24	widely reported to occur at exposure levels
25	significantly below most current national and

1 international limits. And one particular professor that we tried to, during the Waunakee case, we 2 wanted him to be -- to come and be an expert 3 witness, but of course we didn't receive any compensation for him, contributing author, Dr. 5 Martin Blank, Columbia University professor and researcher in bioelectromagnetics says cells in the body react to EMFs as potentially harmful, just like 8 9 to other environmental toxins, including heavy 10 metals and toxic chemicals. The DNA in living cells 11 recognizes electromagnetic fields at very low levels 12 of exposure and produces a biochemical stress 13 response. The scientific evidence tells us that our 14 safety standards are inadequate and that we must 15 protect ourselves from exposure to EMF due to power 16 lines, cell phones and the like. 17 So how can the PSC and the utilities claim there are no risks in light of all these worldwide 18 19 studies. Thank you for your time. 20 EXAMINER MARION: Thank you. I just want 2.1 to ask, you referred to something, some report that 22 you -- or what you were reading from that you want 23 Is that one of these studies that you 24 referred to --25 MS. EMERSON: Yes.

1	EXAMINER MARION: or is that what you
2	were reading? Can you show me what it is you want
3	to file. The deadline, today is the last day to
4	file something. But I would like to look at what it
5	is you want to file because I may have some
6	questions about it.
7	MS. EMERSON: Sure.
8	EXAMINER MARION: Can I look at it now?
9	MS. EMERSON: Right now?
10	EXAMINER MARION: Please. Off the record.
11	(Discussion off the record.)
12	(Exhibit D was marked.)
13	EXAMINER MARION: I'm marking as Exhibit D
14	a document entitled Bio Initiative Report, a
15	Rationale for Biologically Based Public Exposure
16	Standards for Electromagnetic Fields. I'm going to
17	let counsel look at that; and if there is an
18	objection to the receipt of Exhibit D which the
19	witness wants to submit later, you can make that
20	next week and I will withhold a ruling on Exhibit D
21	until then. So you need that back.
22	MS. EMERSON: Um-hmm.
23	EXAMINER MARION: So let them look at it
24	and then you can get it back.
25	MS. EMERSON: Thank you.

EXAMINER MARION: Thank you. Dan and Judy Breunig, please.

DAN BREUNIG, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN

DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT

2.1

BY MR. BREUNIG: This is one of those times when I think that it would be so nice to be able to turn the clock back a few years and I have this vision if I could turn the clock back a few years of seeing the developers of the Fitchburg Technology Center and ATC and the City of Fitchburg getting together and deciding upon a location for the substation because that's where the substation belongs.

All we have to do is look around at other substations, such as the classic one is the one on the west town, I mention that one only because all of us drive by it all the time, we see it all the time, one could even say it's highly visible, and yet I drove past it for more than 20 years before I realized that there was a substation there because it's so well concealed that it looks like it's another building, like another commercial building. One would also note that there are no cables above ground. I assume that's because of the fact that the developers had fairly good size pocketbooks and

were therefore able to pay the cost of putting all the cables underground.

2.1

And yet assuming we've got someone like ATC coming along with a plan instead of the prior developers, then we slam the subdivision (sic) right down along some residential property because that's where you buy the property quickly. And we've got major power lines planned overhead and everyone is talking about the concerns of that of course. One of the concerns obviously is aesthetics.

When I talked about turning the clock back, I would have to say that in my opinion I don't think it's too late to turn the clock back. This is one of those fortunate situations where we could still turn the clock back. We could still look at the technology center. Not only could we, we should look at it, and it should be the place for this substation to go, not smack dab on the edge of some residential property and so on. And my understanding is that it could be done, it can be done.

Now, I should also point out that I was reading the Fitchburg Star the other day and I noticed that there is a quote from one of the ATC representatives to the effect that this was now

2.1

being proposed in the 11th hour. And I would have to respond to that by saying that ATC had this thing so well planned before any of us knew it was happening that they indeed are in the 11th hour, we're still just right after breakfast having our coffee here and kind of trying to digest where we're going with this wild tiger that we're riding. And all I'm trying to suggest here today is that I would really, really encourage the PSC to grab a hold of this thing, grab a hold of this wild tiger that nobody can stop or slow down, we can't even get it by the tail and go for a ride. They're just there pushing along. And we can't do much about it directly, the city can't do much about it directly.

The only people that can get a handle on this and control it is the PSC. And it's incumbent upon the PSC to not just look at providing the services that one of the gentlemen here alluded to. I recognize that the services are needed, electrical services. But I also recognize that people need to be willing to pay for those services. And one community should not get cheap services at the detriment or the cost of another community and another specific neighborhood. That just ain't fair, and putting it very simply that ain't the all

1	American way. Thank you.
2	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you, Mr. Breunig.
3	(Witness excused at this time.)
4	EXAMINER MARION: Rena Gelman.
5	MS. GELMAN: I agree with everything this
6	gentleman just said.
7	EXAMINER MARION: Okay. Thank you. I
8	need to swear you in and we have the reporter, so
9	you need to sit behind the mic if you would so that
10	we can get your testimony down.
11	RENA GELMAN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
12	EXAMINER MARION: You're sneaking up on
13	the
14	MS. GELMAN: I'm trying to make it easier
15	for you.
16	EXAMINER MARION: I appreciate it. I just
17	want you to talk into the mic so we can hear you.
18	MS. GELMAN: I appreciate what you said
19	before about repeating and not repeating and
20	representatives of the neighborhood. So I'm going
21	to be very brief.
22	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you.
23	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
24	BY MS. GELMAN: I agree with the
25	gentleman, everything he said, the gentleman before

1	me. And I have submitted some written testimony and
2	I'll go on record just with that.
3	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you very much.
4	MS. GELMAN: Thank you.
5	(Witness excused at this time.)
6	EXAMINER MARION: Peter Miess.
7	PETER MIESS, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
8	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
9	BY MR. MIESS: My name is Peter Miess. I
10	live in Hillside Heights in Fitchburg. Considering
11	the amount of ratepayer money that would be spent on
12	a power line and the long term consequences with
13	respect to the common good, we should be sure that
14	the line is needed. This should be done through an
15	independent study as we the people advised in the
16	referendum. An independent study should consider
17	important conservation measures and new technologies
18	that enable existing lines to carry more load. If
19	the independent study shows that the line is needed,
20	it should be in the Fitchburg Tech Campus/Verona
21	Road alternative, not routed south through the
22	middle of Fitchburg, and should be underground.
23	In the event these popular concerns
24	mentioned above are not given the weight many
25	citizens hope for, the substation should be located

1	in the area of west of Fish Hatchery Road. This
2	would keep the harmful effects farther away from
3	human dwellings.
4	I believe that ATC should be returned to
5	nonprofit status. I believe that we the people of
6	Wisconsin and our general welfare should be the only
7	consideration by the PSC commissioners. Thank you.
8	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you, Mr. Miess.
9	(Witness excused at this time.)
10	EXAMINER MARION: David Off, please.
11	Mr. Off? (No response.) Tony Hartmann. (No
12	response.) Stewart Stroup. (No response.) Marylyn
13	Stroup. Oh, she doesn't want to testify. Okay.
14	Mr. Books. Steven Books. Do you want to testify,
15	sir?
16	MR. BOOKS: Yes. Did I check yes?
17	EXAMINER MARION: Yes, you did.
18	STEVEN BOOKS, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
19	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
20	BY MR. BOOKS: Yes. Good afternoon, Judge
21	Marion, and Public Service Commission staff. I have
22	a few concerns regarding the American Transmission
23	Company line from Verona or from Fitchburg to
24	Verona. I was an intervenor in the case 137-CE-139,
25	the Waunakee line. And it was a long case I would

say. And a lot of developments came up with that case.

2.1

I believe that these power lines are not what is needed for Dane County at this time. These power lines do not represent a sustainable infrastructure which Dane County takes its pride in. With that, I would say that the public citizens of Dane County are being bamboozled by American Transmission Company and this bamboozlement is in epic proportions.

I would like to talk today about the need for the line, the source of electricity and the conservation programs that could be used to mitigate the power transmission line power concern why American Transmission Company believes that we need these lines in the first place.

First of all, as the gentleman previously stated, we did not have an independent study yet. I would like to say that the independent study has not surfaced yet. I don't know what's going on with that. Our elected officials maybe do not take that to heart that we elected them to take heart what the public citizenry want, and this hasn't been done yet and I have a great concern with our legislators and our city council people, Dane County wide, our

county board. This is a concern that I have.

2.1

The source of electricity in Wisconsin currently, and this is a rough estimate or rough account, but 90 percent of our electricity comes from coal. And so is power generated from coal really what we want at this time in Dane County, and this is the electricity that would flow on the line from Fitchburg to Verona would be generated by coal. And so I think there is a lot of problems with that. And I'm not sure that we as a state want to keep generating electricity from coal which generates a lot of global warming gases, CO2, not to mention a lot of pollutants.

And getting on to the next subject of conservation programs, this is one area that Dane County can really improve upon. Recently, last November, I went on time-of-day rates which is a program designed to offer savings to a customer who can shift a portion of on peak load and off peak time periods for electricity, meaning that you're on peak or off peak. And it's similar to a program that used to be similar to your phone rates for your long distance calls. You would wait until 9 o'clock at night and you would get a cheaper rate.

Well, time-of-day rates is a program that

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

says you will be rewarded cost savings for the electricity you use from a certain time period. And my time period in Mount Horeb is 8 o'clock at night to 8 in the morning. And basically I pay 11 cents a kilowatt for on peak electricity and 3 cents per kilowatt hour for off peak electricity. So I try to save at night, using major appliances at night like the electric clothes dryer. I use it at night and I have a substantial savings.

And that program also, it kind of makes you aware of -- you kind of challenge yourself to use less just doing that program. And this is a free program that all utilities in Dane County are required with the Public Service Commission to offer as a low cost option. It's a savings program. just don't hear too much about it, how to do it. That's one thing. And I would add that that program, I found it curious that when I went to request this program from Mount Horeb utilities, I had to wait two months and I had a request from the utility commission to set that program up. thought, well, this is curious, here's a program to offer me savings and I have to wait two months. wanted that program right now. But I did wait, we had to request it, it had to go through Wisconsin

2.1

Public Power, Incorporated, for their request through Mount Horeb utilities, and finally it went through. And I hope that all communities who are under WPPI in Dane County offer this service and that if a customer wants it in Dane County they don't have to go and request it and wait two months like I had to do. I think people ought to be offered the cost savings immediately.

Another area I'd like to touch on is inverted rates. In Waverly, Iowa, inverted rates are offered and they just did this in July, and I'll read from their program in Waverly, Iowa. The new rate design will reward energy efficiency. It will also differentiate between the four summer months when Waverly Light and Power experiences the highest demand for electricity and the eight remaining months of the year. The winter rates will remain at a flat rate for all kilowatt hours consumed. The summer rates will have a variable rate based on customer usage. Customers using the most energy will be charged at the highest rate. And as I said, the rate structure will take effect on meters read after July 1st, 2007.

Well, what their rate does, it says that you're charged .085 cents per kilowatt hour for 600

2.1

kilowatt hours during the summer months. Your next 500 kilowatt hours, you're charged an additional about one and a half cents. And if you go over that, you're charged another one and a half cents. So what it does is it charges more for the customers who use more. And currently in Dane County, no electric utility has this program, which I think would be kind of an incentive for people to use less. And that's one of my charges too, no pun intended, for the public to use less. It seems like using less does not get out there enough.

I also made a choice when I was thinking about these time-of-day rates, I finally bought an energy efficient appliance, a new refrigerator and that was an Energy Star refrigerator, and that really seemed to make a difference with my total kilowatt usage. There is dishwashers and dryers now that offer the Energy Star label, and the appliances really don't cost that much more and most of the times you can get a rebate from Focus On Energy program. And then where I purchased my appliance, the refrigerator, they were offering a \$100 rebate at the time also. So it was really -- I almost purchased that refrigerator for half price. It was a very good buy.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Again, I would like to say a couple things about time-of-day rates. As I got into that program, I learned a little bit more about it. did it mainly for the cost savings, but it does do a shift on your electricity from off peak and on peak. And I was able to bring my total kilowatt hours down to less than a hundred in this month of July which it's 95 which is pretty remarkable, due to the fact it was summer, but at the same time I do not have air conditioning. But I was able to put 75 percent of my kilowatt usage on off peak hours. And roughly 25 percent on on peak. So that was the savings for that. Reading from the pamphlet I received from WPPI, well, I obtained from WPPI writing to our Mount Horeb utilities superintendent, WPPI wrote that customers who do change their usage characteristics not only save by reducing their power bill, but they can also help reduce the utility's cost of power which reduces cost to all customers through the power cost adjustment clause. So it seems like, again, when we save, it helps, it helps for everybody to save in their charge for electricity.

And that's all I have at this time.

1	you.
2	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you, Mr. Books.
3	MR. BOOKS: Could I submit a few things?
4	EXAMINER MARION: You can show it to me
5	and we can look at it.
6	MR. BOOKS: Okay.
7	EXAMINER MARION: We're going to take a
8	ten-minute recess at this time and we'll resume at 5
9	minutes after 5.
10	(Recess taken from 4:55 to 5:05 p.m.)
11	EXAMINER MARION: Okay. We're going to
12	resume the hearing at this time, please, if you
13	could come to order. And at this time I will call
14	to the witness stand Rita Burke Henricks.
15	RITA BURKE HENRICKS, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
16	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
17	BY MS. BURKE HENRICKS: First of all, we
18	and many of our neighbors support Mr. Allen's plan
19	as very viable. We know that people argue that it
20	is not cost effective, but cost effective to who.
21	We feel that we live on Montadale which backs
22	into the Mandt property. We feel that our
23	neighborhood will take a very big hit,
24	aesthetically, visually, loss of property value.
25	Mr. Rineer from the PSC said that we could lose up

2.1

to 23 percent of the value of our property and then after a few sales it might come back. But for some of us, we don't have time to wait for a couple sales for it to come back. That could be 70,000 or more of our property value that would be lost with the power plant -- or the, you know, thing being put.

And even if my property doesn't go down, if my neighbor's property goes down then mine goes down with it. Because if someone loses that much property value, they're going to not keep it up.

Why should they?

ATC tells us that the screening would take time, that they aren't willing to put in, that they would say this is how much we'll give and they won't really screen it. We are concerned about what would come around it. Now, my husband served on that committee and we said, okay, put it in that city property right near Fish Hatchery Road. Then Mr. Allen's plan came up, and we certainly would prefer that. But if it went on the city property right near Fish Hatchery Road, some of our neighbors said, okay, we can live with it. If it goes back further as some people are proposing, it is going to be very visible, it is going to diminish our property even more, and what goes around it. If

2.1

someone puts a substation in and we look at substations in the area such as the one down on PD, they put commercial around it. We don't want to have a Target behind us or a, you know, Wal Mart or anything like that. And that's what would go in there. Because you would be taking a great part of the farmland.

When we bought, we were told it was going to be 15 to 20 years before anything went behind us. Now we're not saying, you know, nothing should go back there. But we say, hey, let's be fair to the people who purchased homes in that area and did their homework, we were told that nothing was happening with those wires when MG&E knew darn well that something was happening with those wires.

So we really -- and when they -- ATC says, well, is it really dangerous, what if in five years from now it comes up that it is dangerous, who's going to compensate me? I don't let my grandchildren play in my background right now for fear that maybe in a few years something will come up. I was promised it wouldn't and now I'm getting scared. I shouldn't have to be scared. And I shouldn't have take a bigger hit than I'm already taking. You know, I think we've taken a big enough

2.1

hit. And I don't think it should be put right next to a residential neighborhood where we're asking certain neighbors to take a really big hit for an area that isn't even going to be or supposed to be developed for quite a long time. It isn't the hub of the city as they proposed it was. No way is it the hub of the city. It isn't supposed to be developed for another 15, 20, 25 years. And that's what we were told when we bought there and that's our retirement home. And, you know, people will say, well, it isn't diminished that you want to by -- you know, people go by school systems or whatnot. Yes, it is diminished and some of our neighbors have said that, now people look at those wires and say uh-uh, not going to buy.

So it does diminish our property. And that's really all want to say is that, you know, our neighborhood feels very strongly that, first of all, we don't need traffic going through our neighborhood, it's a nice residential area, people bought it for that reason. And we would like to say, hey, if there is expense, shouldn't it be spread out more than just on a neighborhood or two. And we're very, very concerned too that with the wires that are supposed to go in next, that

2.1

someone's going to put the substation there and then they're going to tell us too bad, you've already got the substation, now you need the extra wires too, the extra power lines. And we just -- we can't take any more. And we've been lied to and lied to and lied to about, oh, it doesn't impact this or it doesn't impact that. Well, Mr. Rineer has stated in here, he says I've seen up to 30 percent property value decrease. We can't afford it. That's all I really want to say.

EXAMINER MARION: Thank you very much.

(Witness excused at this time.)

EXAMINER MARION: I want to make a statement for the record for the attorneys representing the parties. What I would like to accomplish, and certainly will hear from any of the lawyers, with witnesses who have offered exhibits, the reason I'm asking you to take a look at the exhibit is if there is an objection to something that can conceivably be cured this afternoon or this evening with the witness on the stand in terms of voir diring the witness, helping establish a foundation for the admission of the testimony or authenticating the testimony, then I expect the parties to try to do that while the witness is

present.

2.1

And so where I have stated that objections can be renewed next week at the technical session, that's for arguing things like relevance and other grounds in addition or other than something that conceivably could be cured with the witness present because obviously we're not going to have that individual here next week. So before I did get a request from one of the witnesses and I wanted to clarify, and I believe she's still here, and I would like you to look at Exhibit B again if you would and if you have any questions in light of what I just said about your responsibility to try to cure any objections with the witness present, I'd like you to try to do that.

I'll call at this time Rebecca Russell.

(No response.) Is Ms. Russell present? John Cates, please. Counselor.

JOHN CATES, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT

BY MR. CATES: I live in Hillside Heights, and I guess I wanted to tell a little story. I bought a house in Wildwood in 1988. And at that time, Seminole Forest had just been built. There was no Seminole Ridge. There was nothing on PD, it

was a two-lane road. Fish Hatchery was a two-lane road. And there wasn't even a connection between Wildwood and Tower Hill at that time.

2.1

And basically since that time, it's pretty apparent what's happened. Things just keep getting built and built and built and built and they keep going south, south, south. And that area around Lacy Road where we are now, I mean there was nothing here. Irish Lane is the very next road down. And it seems to me absolutely ludicrous to put a substation in an area where there will be development on a corner of the very next road.

You know, you have neighborhoods and you have lots of farmland. How does it make sense to put a substation next to a neighborhood? How does that make any sense whatsoever, just from a planning standpoint? And not only are you putting it next to a neighborhood, you're putting it across the street from a Fitchburg park where kids play and there is a pond. I mean I can't think of anything more ridiculous if there are other options. And basically what we learned is those options have not been looked at. They've been cursored, they have been ignored.

And I just feel that if you just take any

2.1

logical way of looking at the growth of Fitchburg, the growth of Fitchburg is going to go south, it's going to go down Fish Hatchery Road. Eventually that section of Fish Hatchery Road will be a four-lane. There will be commercial buildings going into the new area. There are other places the substation could be put that will not interfere with neighborhoods and the growth of Fitchburg.

And I just think that they buy the land for way, way, way, way more than what it's worth, so now that's -- they have the land, that's where it's going to go. And I just -- I feel that the people that have been there and established their homes have a right to continue living in the area that they basically chose to live in when there are other options. And I think that this thing should be halted, I think it should start over, the options should be looked at, and I believe that you have to do things that make sense. And this doesn't make sense. A substation across the street from a park where kids play, how in the world does that make any sense whatsoever? And that's in essence as simple as I can make it.

EXAMINER MARION: Thank you, Mr. Cates.
(Witness excused at this time.)

1 EXAMINER MARION: Tony Hartmann. (No 2 response.) Has Mr. Off returned? Has Mr. Stewart Stroup returned to the hearing room? Is Mrs. Miess 3 present? MRS. MIESS: Yes, but I'm not speaking. 5 EXAMINER MARION: Okay. Is Rebecca 6 7 Russell in the hearing room? Okay. I will ask at this time, is there anyone else who's present who 8 9 wishes to testify before we adjourn? Hearing no 10 responses to that, I will assume that everyone who 11 wished to testify at this 3 o'clock session has had an opportunity to do that. And unless counsel have 12 13 any further business, we will recess until 7. 14 you all. 15 (Recess taken from 5:20 to 7:00 p.m.) 16 EXAMINER MARION: I'd like to call to 17 order at this time Public Service Commission of 18 Wisconsin docket 137-CE-146 which is the Application 19 of American Transmission Company As an Electric Public Utility to Construct a New 138 Kilovolt 20 Transmission Line From a New Substation in Fitchburg 2.1 22 to Be Called the Oak Ridge Substation to the 23 Existing Wisconsin Power & Light Company Verona 24 Substation in Verona, All in Dane County, Wisconsin. I will note for the record that the 25

2.1

appearances of the attorneys are the same as this afternoon. And I'll introduce myself and give the public who is present some indication of how we're going to proceed this evening.

This is the second of two public hearing sessions. The first was this afternoon at 3 p.m.

My name is Ed Marion, I'm the administrative law judge. I don't make the decision in this case, but it's my responsibility to preside at this hearing and at the so-called technical hearing sessions which are next week where the parties will be putting in their technical evidence about the need for this project and all other factors that are relevant to the Commission's decision on whether or not to approve it.

This is a session of the contested case hearing; so if you hear an attorney object or hear me talk about exhibits which are being marked and giving people an opportunity to look at those, it's because this is a formal hearing session. We are transcribing this with a reporter, and I do ask folks to be mindful of the fact that we can only have one person speak at a time. And please speak slowly and as clearly as you can.

At this time, I only have two appearance

2.1

slips from individuals who have indicated a desire to testify. What I'm going to do, because it's just a few minutes after 7, we'll hear from these folks and then probably take a 10- or 15-minute recess just to make sure that there aren't any people who are on the way who may just have assumed that it was going to take longer. I don't want to cut things off prematurely.

I think folks have probably been stopped at the front gate and asked to fill out one of these appearance slips. The alternative ways to participate in this process are to testify this evening or to write comments on the appearance slip and then submit those instead of testifying, or filing or faxing to the Commission yet this evening any comments that you might have that you want to compose on your computer or whatever, you have until midnight to do that.

If at any time anyone has any questions, please feel free to raise your hand and I may ask you to identify yourself for the record because we do need a transcript and a record of everyone who is speaking if I think that's necessary, and if I ask for your name that's why.

When I call your name to testify, please

stand and raise your right hand and I will
administer an oath or affirmation to you so you are
asked to either swear or affirm that the testimony
you're about to give will be the truth. And with
those opening comments, I'd like to welcome you and
ask Mr. Tony Hartmann to please come forward.
TONY HARTMANN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
BY MR. HARTMANN: I have a copy of a
letter, and I'm not sure who gets that. I was going
to read it.
EXAMINER MARION: You can give that to me,
sir. Are you going to be reading from it?
MR. HARTMANN: Yeah, I'll read this and
then make a few comments. It's just two pages and
hopefully I can read it relatively quickly.
I should say while I don't officially
represent any homeowners' groups by and large, this
was a petition actually in a letter that was
circulated last summer, summer of 2006 to citizens
up and down Whalen Road which is one of the proposed
routes for the 138 kV line and into the Branson Road
neighborhood. So roughly Whalen Road and Fish
Hatchery, in that neighborhood there.
There was actually a letter that preceded

2.1

this, I don't have that with me, but it was sent directly to I believe ATC and MG&E just as this one was. And this one is dated with their names at the top June 11th of 2006. It's regarding the new Oak Ridge substation and proposed 138 kV Verona transmission line. The one I think that went on before this went out when it was still a Montrose direction.

In November of 2005, residents of the Whalen Road/Branson Road impact area contacted you with regard to the above mentioned proposed substation. We were questioning your decision to include property within a half mile of Whalen Road on your short list for siting the new high voltage Oak Ridge substation and connecting 138 transmission lines. Parenthetically, connecting to the Sun Valley and Montrose substation. We received no specific response from ATC or MG&E.

We have grown extremely agitated and pointedly skeptical after finding out nearly a year into the process that, in quotes, an engineer at MG&E -- this has notes, footnotes at the bottom, this was a conversation with Mr. Kurt Hendrickson of the ATC on June 9th of 2006 -- now believes that the connection to Montrose is not even necessary and is

instead proposing a different link to another terminus, neither of which was ever mentioned before.

2.1

One of the two prospective corridors runs nearly the entire length of Whalen Road and would connect the new Oak Ridge substation to an existing substation in Verona possibly only to provide system redundancy. This week a letter was sent to those residents, and again in quotes, whose property is located on or adjacent to one of the possible transmission line routes, end quote, indicating that the two brand new alternatives are now, quote, the remaining potential route options, end quote, with another footnote referring to a June 5th letter from ATC signed by Charles Gonzales and Sarah Justus.

This letter is therefore to put the ATC and MG&E on notice that we are not satisfied that either the original proposal or this new one has been systematically studied or well planned. We're definitely not, again in quotes, assured that ATC is committed to finding the best solution and following a fair and inconclusive process, end quote. And that third footnote is an October '05 ATC letter describing the routing and siting process.

Of the east/west corridors available to

2.1

site the new transmission line, Whalen Road is perhaps the most densely populated and in fact following this corridor would impact the greatest number of existing taxpaying Fitchburg residents.

Our further specific grievances are as follows.

One, using existing corridors will provide the greatest benefit to future developments and developers and largest adverse impact on existing development, in this case largely concentrated right along Whalen Road. Once a new line is built, current residents will have had no choice about whether or not to live near high voltage transmission lines while consumers of new residential units or resell homes in the impact area would.

Two, there are serious negative health effects from high voltage lines, especially with regards to children and links to leukemia.

According to several studies, these increase exponentially with proximity to high voltage. One 2005 childhood cancer study from the United Kingdom, reference G.L. Draper, and then in quotes, reported an increase in leukemia incidents for birth addresses within 600 meters of high voltage transmission lines, end quote, with even greater

incidences within 200 meters.

2.1

Point three, alternative routes partially included in the phase 2 preliminary corridors would have little direct impact on Fitchburg residents since they run through undeveloped or agricultural land. One of these could even be the shortest possible route. And there was a map that was originally included.

Point four, human and livestock health hazard. Stray voltage issues have been successfully litigated on behalf of Wisconsin residents and farmers.

Five, pollution, sight and sound issues, real estate has been shown to have a view value associated with it. Power lines specifically can impact valuations by as much as 53 percent with a footnote number 5, that was E. Cooper from the Utica Observer. And again there is a reference that goes to that. Let's see. Thus anything that negatively impacts our real estate values will correspondingly have a negative impact on property tax revenue including a potential reduction in city services.

As stated in our first letter, we are reasonable citizens of Dane County, so it is particularly difficult to find our local utilities

2.1

vacillating on new substations and the need for transmission routes at all. We believe that there are more important considerations than ease of land acquisition when it comes to locating such lines. Corridors that currently have no residences should be highest on the list of alternatives. We believe an independent third-party study should be undertaken to evaluate actual needs and best alternatives when a line is found to be truly necessary.

Nothing is more important than the health and welfare of our citizens. We the undersigned specifically desire a response from the ATC/MG&E with regards to our above mentioned concerns and suggestions. As in the past we will be attending the open house meetings scheduled for later in the month. It would be extremely helpful to have engineers who are proposing the new lines present to answer questions.

Then there is about 45 signatures on the following three pages. This was sent originally to ATC and MG&E and then it was sent this year to Public Service, and in fact they advised us of this meeting and asked us to come and enter it into the record.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I guess just in conclusion, you know, I came this afternoon briefly, wasn't able to stay, so I was able to hear a few of the comments from the Hillside Heights subdivision and know that there are people that are immediately impacted not just by the transmission lines as we would be but by the substation. So I guess to go through briefly, I think the need for these substations, I've heard different answers from different people as I've attended some of these open houses and I guess I am still not convinced as this letter originally stated that we've got a really good comprehensive study here. And so I don't believe that we're carefully researching in an objective way where we ought to be running these transmission lines. And again, there are obviously a lot of factors that enter into it, but the due diligence is definitely lacking in my mind and I think a lot of people's in our neighborhood.

The option to run down Verona Road was brought up, in fact, has been brought up by several parties, but I had an engineer mention it to me at the last open house meeting, an ATC engineer saying that his thinking was that the reason that that hadn't been looked at more closely was because it

2.1

was a potential wetlands issue and that the DNR would be their main opponent which makes sense to me if I'm a business trying to get something done, I'm looking for the path of least resistance and so far from what I've seen the ATC is very good at public relations and sponsoring events and trying to reach out to the public telling us they're going to help us keep our lights on and in fact they're using our own money to market to us, which is a little disappointing. And instead of trying to divide and conquer amongst the citizens, it would be nice if they would look at probably the paths that make the most sense, such as down a main corridor like Verona Road.

So I guess that brings me to another issue and that's really the wrong pecking order. I've been told many times that the pecking order for desirable routes is existing right-of-ways, then defaulting to paths such as the Badger Trail and then finally and lastly green space and open corridors, agricultural land. And as it was explained in the letter, that seems to be kind of the wrong way to go about this because the folks that are already living in the impact areas are the ones who have had no voice in whether or not that

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

power line goes in there. And in fact if you came in after the fact and those lines were coming across a development or a farm you'd at least have the option to choose whether you want to live there. Whereas that's not open to those who are already living there, as one lady said from Hillside Heights, for 18 years and she's going to have no choice in the matter.

Finally, I guess, you know, I mentioned the ATC before. We might have some bigger issues in terms of the way the ATC was formed in the first place. Being a for-profit entity, it seems to me, I'm a businessman, the only way that they're going to improve their bottom line, which I understand is it's kind of a guarantied 12 to 13 percent, seems to be by running new power lines and then using them to send the power all around and it seems to me that's how they get compensated. So if like most businesses they're beholding to their shareholders and the bottom line is at issue here, we have a little bit of a built-in conflict here for some entity that's also, you know, got a monopolistic opportunity here to tell us, you know, that this is what we need. And I'm not sure where we go with that because it's a fundamental issue.

1	appreciate the opportunity to be heard and thank you
2	very much.
3	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you, Mr. Hartmann.
4	(Witness excused at this time.)
5	EXAMINER MARION: Rebecca Russell. Is
6	Ms. Russell present? (No response.) I don't
7	believe I have any more slips unless some are coming
8	in now. Is there anyone present in the hearing room
9	who would like to testify? Take your time.
10	ROBERT E. DUBEAU, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
11	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
12	BY MR. DUBEAU: I'd like to start I'm
13	Robert Dubeau, 2370 Kathleen Street, Hillside
14	Heights, Fitchburg. And I've lived at my residence
15	for 18 years with my family. Also spent a couple of
16	years at Tower Hill.
17	But I'm here to register my opinion to the
18	opposition to the building of the ATC proposed Oak
19	Ridge station at the intersection or nearby to Fish
20	Hatchery Road and the corner of Irish Lane. During
21	the past year, I've submitted comments to the PSC
22	web site consistent with my statement tonight.
23	I only support the ad hoc committee's
24	recommendation to site the substation on the city
25	owned property as the best of three bad options.

2.1

Those were dictated to us by the ATC and the mayor of Fitchburg because those were told to us that those were our only options. Those aren't our only options.

Why they have a power line stretching right across from Seminole Highway to Fish Hatchery Road and you can't tap onto that somewhere along that line -- that's a mile and a half to two miles -- is unbelievable to me. Why they would want to stick the substation by ATC in a neighborhood of Hillside Heights, a sleepy little neighborhood on the fringe of the city where our city is. I mean why stick it where people have to see it coming and going every single day. And not only that, it's not only seeing it coming and going is seeing it out your back window or out your front window.

All three substation locations proposed by the ATC negatively impacts the Hillside Heights neighborhood. No question. The substation would be a definite eyesore that I do not want to see coming and going every day. I just don't want to see it. Nobody wants it in their backyard, but we got more reasons for that. Others in the neighborhood would see the structure from their homes and many worry about the health effects. I just heard a few

minutes ago about a fellow talking about dirty electricity, and he's talking about EMF.

2.1

If there are articles in science and the industry of the cell phones right now are telling folks that if you hold a phone to the side of your head, that you can create cancer from the effects of electricity, and it would be EMF or another name similar to it, why wouldn't it be conceivable to have thousands and thousands of volts hovering over you within a few feet, why wouldn't that cause cancer.

Now, there is a lot of unknowns that a lot of us don't know about. Where is this -- all this cancer coming from. Where is this breast cancer coming from. Why did my mom and dad have to die from cancer. We don't know if it's coming from the food, the treatment of the food, or we don't know if it's coming from our electricity. And I got suspicious. I say to the ATC prove that it doesn't cause cancer, not that we have to prove that it does. How are we as individual homeowners and families going to do that sort of thing when they have millions and millions of dollars at their disposal to investigate the possibilities here. Why do other countries in the world and in Europe in

2.1

particular reuse the neutral electricity and send it back to the power plant to be recirculated in the system. Why do we have to keep delivering it, delivering it, and delivering it and paying every time we dump electricity when it comes to our homes. That neutral, and it goes right alongside the ground, right in the ground. Why do cows have to dance at farm fields on the farms. You can — there is evidence of that, there is pictures of that. I've seen it myself where cows are dancing from one leg to the other because they're being charged with electricity. Unbelievable. How can we ignore those things.

Why does the ATC have a booklet that designs electric systems to bring power into the different communities and then say if we want to investigate that booklet and look at it, we can have it but we have to buy it and it's \$25,000. Why do they say that. When a booklet like that maybe costs 5 bucks or 15 bucks if it was available in the library. And if it's available in the library it should be for free, not \$25,000. I've seen that in writing also.

July 20th -- I'm sorry you have to hear this, Your Honor, I apologize. July 20th, 2007, Jay

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Allen of the Fitchburg Common Council submitted a paper entitled comments and proposal regarding docket 137-CE-146, that's the docket for our area at Hillside Heights, to the PSC. And the PSC reference number to that docket is 79227. I agree with Jay's comments as well as his proposal to separate the substation project into two parts. And -- because it's too big, it's much too big to put in our area. Let's break it up and put it on their own land.

The power that's needed is being created from that Burby plant (phonetic) at Fitchburg Industrial Park. They can hide it, they can deny it, but it's true. They want power as close to that plant as possible, it's a data processing plant. we took all the light bulbs in Fitchburg and all the outlets in Fitchburg it would not total what that plant is going to use in power. So there is a need there for that power. That's why they want the substation nearby. Why don't they put it right next to their own plant. Why don't they put it up against -- up on Quarry Hill right against that new building that's being built right now. Why would they even think that we would want it in our sleepy little neighborhood at Hillside Heights. far from here.

2.1

The building of the MG&E portion of the substation in the Fitchburg Technology Campus near the Burby's new plant would require solid walls to be built on all four sides of the substation according to architectural standards for the campus. It means it's going to be beautified, it's going to look nice, it's not going to offend anybody, and the power will be right there where it's needed. They need a backup service, it's a great opportunity for Fitchburg to have industry come. But, you know, it's got to be done right too. It shouldn't be punishing people in other neighborhoods to support that. We gain nothing from it. Zero. We gain absolutely nothing.

This site also places the substation one mile closer to the proposed growth in Fitchburg which is going to be northeast of here. It's not going to be in the corn field down by the proposed ATC substation in Fitchburg at Irish Lane. It's just not going to be there. And if it's going to be there, it belongs out in the middle of that field dug in a deep hole in the ground so nobody has to look at it. Or suffer the consequences from it from cancer. I'm scared to death of cancer. If you don't believe that really people have cancer, go up

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

to St. Mary's, go up on their cancer ward and see those people dying day after day. What causes it. We have to look into the evidence of what causes it. And it has to be something like an EMF electricity and it has to be something like the chemicals we spray on our food, whether it's corn or cranberries or vegetables or tomatoes or whatever it is, we've got to find out. That's another matter, I understand that. But if we could control something, and we got an opportunity to control this thing here, is the ATC and their direction where they're going dictating to us what we have to do. think it's right at all. I don't know why they have much power. That power should be taken away from them and it should be brought back to the people and it should be the people that decide where this power line goes, not ATC.

I support using the existing Fitchburg substation at McKee Road at this point from which the ATC transmission line and the additional substation that ATC wants on property that's already available and made available by MG&E. It's there right now. All they have to do is use it. It's closer to Verona and it also makes available to run the power lines in the ground to that substation

that Verona has.

2.1

In this paper, Jay discusses the undergrounding of these lines as consistent with the previous City of Fitchburg actions. It's in the plans. It's in the future for Fitchburg to do this kind of thing. All these other neighborhoods also that I've noticed, all these new neighborhoods are getting underground power. Fitchburg and -- Hillside Heights doesn't, and yet they want to put a substation and have these humongous poles towering over us. We're about the only community in Fitchburg that doesn't have exposed power lines above us hanging over our heads.

Jay's proposal makes a lot of sense to me. It's also consistent with the city's 50 year growth plan. The power from this substation will not benefit Hillside Heights. It's not going to benefit us at all. It's just to connect two grids. It's just to create a connection for the system in ATC's mind, it's -- ATC can work with these other electric companies also. They're owned by them, why can't they do that. They can do that.

The power lines from the substation will not benefit Hillside Heights neighborhood and it should be placed where the power is needed,

1 underneath the Burby plant. It should be placed over on Verona Road and the power lines should be 2 undergrounded which the city has agreed to support 3 and with some TIF fund money to get those cables underground properly and get them directed so the 5 job doesn't have to get done twice, over and over 7 again, and run them down to that substation in 8 Verona. 9 I ask that the proposal submitted by Jay 10 Allen be given very serious consideration because 11 he's given us a direction, he's given us not only a direction, a solution. And a good solution, a 12 13 solution that Quarry Hill, Hillside Heights or 14 Byrnewood all appreciate and can appreciate that we 15 get the substation and these towering power lines 16 out of our neighborhood. 17 I really close on this. I thank you very much for the time, and I'm sorry for my voice. 18 19 EXAMINER MARION: Thank you very much, 20 Mr. Dubeau. 2.1 (Witness excused at this time.) 22 EXAMINER MARION: Steve Arnold, please. 23 STEVE ARNOLD, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN 24 MR. ARNOLD: I have a written copy of my 25 testimony. Would you like it?

1	EXAMINER MARION: Yes.
2	MR. ARNOLD: I can also provide it
3	electronically.
4	EXAMINER MARION: This is fine.
5	MR. ARNOLD: Thank you.
6	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
7	BY MR. ARNOLD: Good evening. Fitchburg
8	has two alders for each of its four districts. With
9	Jay Allen, I represent District 4 in which the
10	proposed Oak Ridge substation would be sited and
11	through which the proposed 138 kilovolt power line,
12	the Fitchburg to Verona transmission line, would be
13	constructed. District 4 also includes the MG&E
14	substation site proposed by Alder Allen and the
15	transmission route between the Fitchburg and Verona
16	substations proposed by Alder Allen.
17	I've served on the Fitchburg Common
18	Council since April 2005 and fully participated in
19	the discussion of the Oak Ridge substation since it
20	was first proposed by MG&E. I serve on the
21	Fitchburg Transportation and Transit Commission and
22	am intimately familiar with Fitchburg's long-term
23	planning process. At my instigation, Fitchburg's
24	mayor, Tom Clauder, established the ad hoc committee
25	on substation facility planning, hereinafter the ad

hoc committee, on which I served as vice chair. With Alder Allen I am the co-author of Council Resolution R-49-07.

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

This evening I would like to make four points and refute several statements made by ATC employee Sarah Justus and MG&E employee Mark Weires. The points are, one, the process that led to the proposed substation sites and transmission routes are substantially flawed and led to a flawed result. Two, Alder Allen's alternative proposal would be a better solution than ATC's proposal and has substantial local government and public support in Fitchburg. Three, the Fitchburg city government prefers the old city hall site for Oak Ridge substation only over the North Parish Gardens site owned by ATC. And four, Fitchburg has made substantial investments and future commitments to bury existing transmission and distribution lines in the city, and no new overhead transmission or distribution lines should run along thoroughfares in or near the city's urban service area.

To the first point. ATC changed one end point of the Fitchburg Montrose 138 kilovolt project to Verona late in its public outreach process when it reduced the number of transmission corridors to

2.1

two from three. Given the previously announced set of corridor possibilities, South Fish Hatchery Road, Caine Road and the Badger State Trail, imagine the shock of Fitchburg public city staff and elected officials when ATC chose Adams and Whalen Roads.

We protested at the time, but to no avail. ATC contends that the route selection process must be restarted from the beginning if we are to propose another route such as the Verona Road corridor. Why then were they able to change the scope of the project from Montrose to Verona without restarting it. The answer of course is that ATC owns the process and can manage it anyway it sees fit so long as the statutory requirements are met.

Nevertheless, to abuse the public in this way by excluding viable and to us preferable corridors from ATC's outreach efforts and consideration has predictably led to a suboptimal result.

Point two, there is a better proposal.

Fortunately, Alder Allen and others have worked hard to develop other proposals. By now, you know its essential features well. A new distribution station, substation in the Fitchburg Technology

Campus to provide power for a growing Fitchburg and a transmission line between the existing Fitchburg

2.1

and Verona substations to satisfy the needs in Verona and points south. Much outreach has already been done for the Fitchburg substation and while there are those that would object to every proposal, Fitchburg is eager to work with MG&E and the Public Service Commission to complete the public dialogue and get the project built. Jay's plan has the advantage that it's well coordinated with our future long term development plans for the city.

In Sarah Justus's rebuttal testimony of September 17th, she disparages Alder Allen's outreach efforts as incomplete. Jay is a small business owner with a family and dozens of urgent city issues to handle in his role as an alder. He volunteers enough hours for civic causes to make a full-time job for most people. Sarah by contrast works full time on just these issues with unlimited resources provided by ratepayers. Jay should have our gratitude and admiration for his efforts against huge odds.

Point three, a real choice. ATC's public outreach process offered three, quote, choices for the site of the Oak Ridge substation. One they owned and two belonging to landowners they said were unwilling to sell, all adjacent to the intersection

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

of Irish Lane and South Fish Hatchery Road. ATC should really have looked at all the possibilities for tapping into the existing 138 kilovolt line for the Verona/Belleville area and MG&E should have independently worked with Fitchburg to find a site to energize Fitchburg's future development areas.

Sarah Justus in her testimony on September 17th stated that the ad hoc committee was not limited to considering only the three substations proposed by ATC. She is correct that the ad hoc committee discussed other sites. But the urging of the mayor and me, we agreed as a group early in our deliberations to make a recommendation to the Common Council only among these three sites. We were concerned that if we didn't focus our efforts we would not be able to fully develop an alternate proposal in time to affect ATC's recommendation to the PSC. It was always our understanding and indeed our hope that others would continue to search for better alternatives, both by looking for alternative routes and by having further discussions with landowners.

Mike Weires of MG&E in his rebuttal testimony of September 17th also seemed to be confused about the purpose of our Resolution

2.1

R-49-07. The council is ranking a better option, that is a better option than the three proposed by ATC, above the old city hall site and ranking the old city hall site above the North Parish Gardens site. We did not agree to sell the site if it is needed. Rather we prefer to sell the site if the PSC does not grant our request for a separation of the projects and more study. But I am before you this evening representing my constituents and Jay Allen's to request that you not force the city to accept one of the three sites in the ATC application.

The main objective of the ad hoc committee was to find a better alternative than the North Parish Gardens site which ATC had already purchased. North Parish Gardens is a busy intersection at the geographical center of the city and forms the northern view scape for the Hillside Heights neighborhood. It should be developed as a city amenity such as part of the proposed Moraine Edge Park, a community garden, or as future conditions that is beyond 50 years permit development a prominent civic building or a lively commercial center.

The ad hoc committee included

2.1

representatives from other neighborhoods potentially affected by a future Oak Ridge substation so as to build a citywide consensus. We are very aware of how ATC's process has the effect of pitting residents of different areas against each other. We feel that the ad hoc committee was successful since now we are discussing the old city hall site as ATC's proposed site. I am grateful for Alder Allen's hard work with the Fitchburg Technology Campus and its neighbors to more fully develop that proposal in parallel with our efforts.

Fourth point. New lines must be underground. The City of Fitchburg has an aggressive program for beautifying the city by burying electric power lines along our urban roadways. On your way here this evening you may have noticed the evidence of one such project, burying distribution lines between Greenway Cross and Post Road along Fish Hatchery Road. Only a year ago were the distribution lines in front of this building buried at the city's expense. Our largest transmission line project was along McKee Road between the Fitchburg substation and Fish Hatchery Road.

Sarah Justus's statement that only 14

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

percent of our transmission lines are buried should be turned on its head. It's remarkable that we have been able to bury so much of our urban power infrastructure in the short time that Fitchburg has been a city. We are not proposing that rural transmission lines be buried. If the PSC approves the Oak Ridge substation at the old city hall site, the city has asked that the tap be run overhead away from South Fish Hatchery Road along a quarter section line south of the water tower in Byrnewood. The city has agreed to grant the needed easement along its own property. If the tap must run along Fish Hatchery Road between the existing 138 kilovolt lines and the substation, it must be underground to be consistent with our policy and investments throughout the city.

So here is what we would like the PSC to do. On behalf of my constituents, I ask the PSC to take several steps. Number one, allow MG&E to work with the City of Fitchburg to find a substation site that will efficiently serve our developing committee without conflicting with our land use plans. For our part, that is speaking for the Common Council, we will work hard to get the project permitted and built in the time needed to meet the acute need.

2.1

Two, demand that ATC do the outreach and study that it should have already done on the proper point to tap the existing 138 kilovolt lines to serve the Verona substation and the corridors that connect it. Certainly the Fitchburg substation and the Verona Road corridor deserve more than the summary rejection they have received so far.

And three, honor and protect the investments Fitchburg has already made in putting electric infrastructure underground by requiring ATC to either run its new facilities underground along our urban roadways or move them back from the public to overland routes.

And adding to my written testimony, I would remind you that the City of Fitchburg has made a multi-million commitment to help pay for that.

With good faith and cooperation, I believe that ATC, MG&E, the elected officials, staff and citizens of the City of Fitchburg can together reach a better result than is currently offered in the ATC application, both meeting the needs for electric power, preserving the investment that Fitchburg has made in developing its infrastructure and in following the plans and policies of the city. Thank you for considering these requests.

1	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you very much,
2	Alder Arnold. Thank you for the use of the council
3	chambers as well.
4	MR. ARNOLD: You're welcome. It wasn't up
5	to me.
6	(Witness excused at this time.)
7	EXAMINER MARION: Mr. Brian Ast, please.
8	BRIAN AST, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
9	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
10	BY MR. AST: Thanks, Judge. As you've
11	heard from a lot of intelligent people tonight, they
12	expressed a lot of great points. I hope to maybe
13	just touch on a couple. I haven't really touched up
L4	on this lately. I've been fighting it for over two
15	and a half years now.
16	But you know, I guess my first point is
17	I'm against this project in a lot of aspects. You
18	know, I guess I'll just start with my points. You
19	know, the original scope was a substation around
20	this area and the 138 kV line from here to Montrose.
21	And, you know, somewhere through the years that
22	changed to Verona. Now I can pinpoint it a little
23	bit more because I was following it more then. ATC
24	sort of published that to their web site really
25	silently, because I watched the maps and things like

2.1

that, about mid May 2006 I do believe it was. You know, and then they had an open house in late June of 2006 where they sort of formally announced the scope change.

Now, you know, one endpoint that has got to constitute at least a 50 percent scope change. So you know, but they didn't really look into any existing corridors that they previously ruled out. You know, so that's a little disconcerting to me. I mean they just sort of said, okay, well, we've changed exactly where we're going to, we're starting from the same spot, but we changed it. It's a pretty big change, I don't know how offhand, but I'd have to say about 15 miles, 20 miles. And to me at least they should look at some of the routes they ruled out previously.

Not to mention I saw, you know, seeing back the original maps, you know, there was a really big sort of shaded area for the substation initially which encompassed all the way over to -- I forget the road actually right now, but it's one over. But just a big area, probably I'd say about 500 acres or so at least. And it just progressively swallowed down into the corner of Irish Lane and Fish Hatchery or basically three adjacent sites. Just seems a

little weird there.

2.1

You know, also I guess I'd like to address, you know, what I've considered a lack of effort in some respects on Fitchburg over the last two and a half years to actually proactively address this issue. You know, Jay Allen, the people have said before, I mean he's done more in six months than the mayor's done in over two years. You know, there is, you know, the ad hoc committee, it was -- it was sort of forced to be set up. I don't think it was the mayor's initiative, that's for sure. And it seemed like their scope of that, I attended a lot of the meetings as a resident, their scope was limited to three -- pick the best out of the three worst sites that could be for our neighborhood.

You know, one of the persons actually on the committee too, there was one question about the route going whether it be Adams Road or -- but anyway, she had a farm out in Verona and she sort of was referencing that. I'm like, well, that doesn't seem quite right being on a Fitchburg subcommittee, I mean she has a house here and she was representing the Byrnewood neighborhood. But that just doesn't seem right if you're talking about your Verona property when you're on the Fitchburg committee.

2.1

So I mean there was a lot of little discrepancies there. You know, ATC came in, sort of did their dog and pony show I call it. It was very limited in the scope, they couldn't think about anything other than the three sites. So there is just questions about, you know, secret meetings that the mayor has had with ATC an hour before like a meeting with Jay Allen and other people, other city committee meetings before the ad hoc meetings too. That just doesn't sit with me quite frankly.

So, you know, there is also the control -originally this was just an MG&E distribution
substation. And you know, they had an open house
meeting right here in front of the city council I do
believe or a lot of them that were present, when
MG&E came in with their big proposal. And at that
point it was like, okay, they're right next to the
Byrnewood neighborhood and it was, you know,
vehemently opposed, let's just put it that way. And
the mayor even quoted that it's not going to be next
to Byrnewood. You know. But that's fine because it
was under local control then. So Fitchburg had a
say.

But at some point through that process it switched to be a distribution and transmission

2.1

substation. But the problem I have with that is there was zero notification to any of the surrounding neighborhoods, either through ATC saying that, you know, that scope's changed, we're now including that or through, you know, Fitchburg, the City of Fitchburg actually saying that, hey, we're losing control because once it's a transmission substation, you know, Fitchburg lost local control, like I say, and you guys decide. And I feel Fitchburg should have a right because this is a permanent structure on one of our main arteries or quite near one of our main arteries.

So to me it seems like there is a lot of options. You know, I could get into obviously a whole bunch of the, you know, the EI study is based on unprecedented growth from the past years and how housing markets slowed down and things like that.

But -- EMF, things like that. But the other option to me is like we're living in 2007, it's not 1957 or 1907. We don't have open dumps anymore. We should really consider every option possible before we build transmission lines, you know. That's -- there is better wire out there for transmission lines, they get about three times the capacity, you know.

I didn't even know this, but MG&E does

2.1

time-of-day rates, but you know, energy conservation really doesn't seem to be practiced that much or at least brought to the forefront. Direct load control, you know, and if this is really for Fitchburg, you know, there is local generation over transmission, you know, long transmission lines that will be there forever and ever. And I guess, you know, there is also the aspect of the 345 which I know that's not what this is about, but people forget like the Waunakee, that was just their transmission line. We're still a prime candidate for getting the 345 through here, you know, that's going through an earlier process right now.

But you know, it's just amazing that there can be probably over 10 -- or a thousand kV concentrated in one corner, you know, relatively a couple blocks from our house or our neighborhood, let's just put it that way. You know, and that's just current or what would be near current load, not necessarily future capacity too.

You know, I guess before I've always talked about a lot of things. Now my personal aspect of this. You know, we bought our place, we live just down the street, real close obviously. We bought it in '93. It was just a lot,

2.1

second-to-the-last lot in a 30-year-old subdivision. And you know, we bought it because it was a nice quiet neighborhood, acre lot across from a park with a pond, just a beautiful setting, southern sun, southwest sun, see the sunsets. There was an existing dual 138 let's say, you know, a good hundred, 200 yards north of us, but you know, we sort of, you know, okay, it's far enough away, we're okay, you know, it's just one line out there.

So you know, ATC wasn't formed there. I don't know if Chapter 89 was in existence then which I'm sure you're familiar with selecting preferred routes. But you know, we built in '98, built a real nice house, angled it 10 degrees just so we can get a little bit more summer sun, things like that. It took a lot of effort in building that place. We thought we really had something being in a real nice old neighborhood, very nice neighborhood, nice people, all big lots, out in the country but real close to the city.

So you know, to me it's just absurd that we are sitting here fighting now tooth and nail down to the bottom of the ninth inning that, as I say, over a thousand kV is two blocks away from our neighborhood which has been there for 30, going on

2.1

40 years now. And it just doesn't make any sense to me. And we have no new growth. I mean you've heard the comments I think on Fitchburg's plans for growth and it's nowhere near our neighborhood. It doesn't make any sense.

I don't know, I mean there is things that
I could think of, you know, it's like after, you
know, how it changed and things like that, you know.
I mean I'm an IT guy so I always think about the
worst things, you know, and things like that,
penetrations and viruses and things like that. But
was our neighborhood profiled or something like
that? You know, we don't have a neighborhood
association. We're made up of a real mix of younger
and older adults, a lot of retired people who
vacation away from their property, you know, up
north and things like that. You know, obviously the
open houses are always around Memorial Day, Labor
Day. There is a lot of things into that. But
the devil has hit me, of course.

I just -- you know, there obviously is a better option and I think you've overwhelmingly heard it. You know, Jay has done more in six months than, as I say, Fitchburg as a whole has done in two and a half years. I've been fighting for

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

intervention since June of 2006, Common Council meetings, a lot of Hillside Heights residents, Don's been in a bunch of times, Rob Lawrence, I mean many, many people, that's for sure. Becky, Rob, others.

But you know, I feel that you really need to give serious consideration to the FTC site, Fitchburg Technology Campus and the Verona Road. You know, I think if you guys think about that and obviously the needs there for the electrical which nobody seems to be questioning that, that you know, there is probably a deal that you can get done and in a timely fashion, you know. Otherwise to me you need to reject the project as a whole because it's been a comedy of errors up until now, you know. I don't know, I mean as I say, you know, the scope changed, you know, it doesn't make a lot of sense, okay, it costs X-amount for a transmission line for so many miles and if this option is X-amount shorter then it's going to cost a lot less. Okay. want to take it a step further, you need to compare apples to apples and oranges to oranges. One they're choosing to overhead everything, one they're choosing to underground everything. And I mean you don't have to be smarter than a fifth grader to figure that out.

1	But I don't know, as I say, those are my
2	comments and that's just been a very frustrating
3	process and hopefully maybe something good can come
4	of this, I don't know.
5	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you very much,
6	sir.
7	MR. AST: Thank you.
8	(Witness excused at this time.)
9	EXAMINER MARION: Marianne Daggett,
10	please.
11	MS. DAGGETT: I don't want to.
12	EXAMINER MARION: You decided not to
13	testify. That's okay. I have another Daggett, S.C.
14	Daggett. Sir.
15	S.C. DAGGETT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
16	MR. DAGGETT: To my knowledge, yes.
17	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you. Please be
18	seated and proceed at your own pace.
19	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
20	BY MR. DAGGETT: I think my comments today
21	are going to be a little bit more on the subjective
22	level and of how I feel, how I see things going on,
23	what I think of the process.
24	I think the Public Service Commission
25	should cancel this project and begin the process

2.1

over. First of all, I don't think it's even needed. I think the projected growth rate for energy consumption is no longer valid. We are at the beginning of a massive multi-year slowdown in residential construction. The residential growth rate will be far less than what is projected. Quite simply, if people want to move to Wisconsin, can't sell their home in New Jersey, they're not going to move here. Condominiums are unsold, building projects have been canceled. I think that the reduced growth rate as a result of this is going to trump the whole project, at least for the short term.

We have time to do this right. ATC's already weak case for growing of electricity use is further invalidated by the current housing slowdown. There is no imminent need for the substation. The Public Service Commission should cancel this project and begin the process over. Why. The entire process was flawed from the beginning, and all subsequent discussion is meaningless when the underlying assumptions are wrong, incomplete, compromised by commercial interests and unwanted by residents of the area. ATC has disenfranchised the residents of Fitchburg and anyone in Dane County

that will be affected by this flawed proposal.

2.1

Substations should not be located in a residential neighborhood. It should be located at the Fitchburg Technology Park. The EMF radiation from the substation and associated lines present a clear health hazard to the children and adults from nearby neighborhoods. There has been little serious consideration for the Fitchburg Technology Park location. I ask myself why. ATC purchased the land known as Parish Gardens in advance of the regulatory approval and then suggests that the very same site is the best location.

I sometimes wonder if anybody really sees the absurdity of this. You know, am I in some kind of existential nightmare here? Is this really happening? ATC purchases land in advance and then resists consideration of other sites? There is something wrong with this picture. And I'll tell you what's wrong. The substation site is wrong. The routing of the lines is wrong. ATC does not care what is right. ATC refuses to consider any of the volumes of public dissent expressed for this project.

The PSC needs to wake up and listen to these objections. This is not NIMBY. This is

2.1

common sense. What's wrong with this picture? Does it take a genius to figure it out? I suggest not. I suggest it's very simple. Anyone can see that ATC has no interest in doing what is right. Once they purchased the land why should they consider anything else.

The Public Service Commission should stop this process and demand an honest evaluation of potential sites. The PSC should not accept such a flawed and self-serving proposal from ATC. The Fitchburg comprehensive plan requires development to basically be toward the north and east. ATC proposes the substation to be sited south and west. So I ask you why site a substation in the exact opposition direction of future demand and in a residential neighborhood when the technology park is at the very epicenter of development.

Why run high voltage lines through a residential neighborhood when a commercial corridor such as Verona Road is readily available. Can the PSC, can you see what's wrong with this picture? Do you care? Do you really want the public to think you are in ATC's pocket? Are you truly the guardian of public interest, public safety? Are you proponents of responsible infrastructure decisions?

1	Do your job, please. Do the right thing,
2	refuse to put a substation in a residential
3	neighborhood. Do the right thing, run transmission
4	lines down commercial corridors, not through
5	residential neighborhoods. Do the right thing, open
6	a truly comprehensive study of the needs of the
7	county and its residents. Do the right thing, don't
8	accept flawed proposals by ATC. Commission an
9	honest evaluation of potential sites.
10	This substation and associated power lines
11	will be with us for a very, very long time with no
12	chance to turn back. You have one chance to do it
13	right. Do what the citizens are asking. Realize
14	that growth rates are slowing and will be for years.
15	Understand there is no hurry for this. Do the right
16	thing, cancel this project and insist on a
17	comprehensive evaluation of both projected
18	electricity needs and substation placement
19	alternatives. Thank you.
20	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you, Mr. Daggett.
21	(Witness excused at this time.)
22	EXAMINER MARION: John Darling.
23	JOHN DARLING, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
24	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
25	BY MR. DARLING: I appreciate the

1	opportunity to express some brief thoughts here. I
2	have filed this on line with the Commission, but I
3	just wanted to take the opportunity to speak tonight
4	as well.
5	First of all, basically in light of the
6	fact that electrical energy radiating from the new
7	138 kV transmission line and new Oak Ridge
8	substation will most likely be injurious to human
9	health, of children in particular, I believe it
10	would be unforgivable and certainly not in good
11	conscience to locate these facilities at any of the
12	alternative locations other than those most removed
13	from existing dwellings. There can be no
14	justification for doing otherwise. Thank you.
15	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you, sir.
16	(Witness excused at this time.)
17	EXAMINER MARION: Susan Michetti.
18	SUSAN MICHETTI, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
19	MS. MICHETTI: Yes, to the best of my
20	knowledge.
21	EXAMINER MARION: Please be seated and
22	proceed.
23	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
24	BY MS. MICHETTI: Thank you. Basically I
25	believe that this project needs to be canceled and

2.1

started again. There are those that want to make this a NIMBY issue, but there are some very rational reasons it needs to be restarted.

The process that formed ATC was subverted away from its original intention of being a nonprofit, and it was done without public local hearings in affected areas. I think we need to revisit democratic principles and constitutional values. You know, there are things where we have a right to determination, self-determination, and that means being able to make decisions at the local level that somehow have been subverted by complex, bureaucratic, political issues.

As a citizen, I feel my local government and my ability for meaningful input into the process has become too limited to maintain my local values. And my local values are things like health concerns of EMF, serious health concerns that I see supported by scientific research. There is also other issues, aesthetic concerns, technology choices, global warming concerns, other alternative options that have not been remotely considered. Future optional alternative options that are under development right now that haven't come out yet. There are all kinds of things that are coming out to deal with global

2.1

warming that we haven't -- that are not on the table here.

And the cost to the public of a utility, because my values say that utilities should remain in the public domain. And to me this is a subversion of local decision making and it's irresponsible and it's irrational, and I question its legality on a constitutional level even if other people think it's correct.

I do know that EMFT does cause cancer and it affects the rate of bone healing and it is -there has been -- it points to other health impacts.

I know that medical researchers want to do advanced studies to conclusively show medical effects of transmission lines, but there was political leverage that interfered with what the scientific research was allowed to do at the decision making ability, including that decided by the government in this country.

The scientists in this country know that research has become decided by the funders rather than by the scientific process. There is still research that has went through the process that does show that EMF has effects. But there was a lot more research that wanted to be done that was stopped.

2.1

And the scientific community knows this, people that want to go into science knows that,

Forbes Life writes in their recent magazine article where our country's in economic straits we're going to go down the tubes economically, we're not going to be viable anymore because nobody is going into the sciences in the United States, the foreigners are all taking over the sciences and we're not going to be able to maintain our economic standing. And that's just a couple months ago.

Well, to me it's clear because the scientific standing has been altered by the funders.

Well, to me it's clear because the scientific standing has been altered by the funders. The conclusions that people get from their studies has been told to be removed from their studies. There are a lot of questions. So when you do read studies that have come from other nations and you do read studies that have been out there and the scientific process has been found, I'd pay very close attention to it. Thank you.

EXAMINER MARION: Thank you very much.

(Witness excused at this time.)

EXAMINER MARION: I do have slips from three other witnesses. But I would like to take a ten-minute recess at this time. So I'd ask anyone who was thinking about whether they wanted to

1 testify, if they were undecided, to try to make that 2 decision if possible. So we'll be adjourned for about ten minutes. 3 (Recess from 8:10 to 8:20 p.m.) EXAMINER MARION: Okay. We're back in 5 I'll call on Sean Doyle, please. session. 6 7 SEAN DOYLE, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT 8 9 BY MR. DOYLE: Thank you for the 10 opportunity to allowing us to be here today. As 11 indicated, my name is Sean Doyle. I reside in the Hillside Heights neighborhood adjacent to the Oak 12 13 Ridge substation sites. 14 I'm a member of the Hillside Heights 15 Neighborhood Association and attended all of the 16 City of Fitchburg ad hoc committee meetings 17 regarding the ATC Oak Ridge substation proposals. have also attended each local ATC open house dating 18 19 back to the summer of 2005, evidenced by these shiny 20 ATC packets next to my hand. In the summer of 2005, the original 2.1 22 project for this area was called the Montrose to Fitchburg electric system upgrade and was to connect 23 24 a new substation near Montrose and a new substation 25 in the Fitchburg area near the intersection of Fish

2.1

Hatchery Road and Irish Lane, or that vicinity. By the spring of 2006 the project scope, direction and cost had changed completely and was now called the Fitchburg to Verona electric system upgrade connecting the existing Verona substation to a new substation in the Fitchburg area with slightly clear and defined substation sites all located on the corner or intersection of Fish Hatchery Road and Irish Lane.

These three sites simply do not provide any alternatives as ATC indicates. All three sites are within one block of one another on the same intersection. At the ATC open houses, ATC and MG&E cited the need for Fitchburg substations by indicating their future growth projections for southern Dane County which have recently been reconsidered. And also the exponential future growth directly in the vicinity of the proposed substation sites at the intersection of Fish Hatchery Road and Irish Lane.

Based on the recent comprehensive land use plan for the City of Fitchburg, there will be no future growth in the direct vicinity of the intersection for decades to come. If ATC and MG&E are basing their requirements for this substation on

2.1

flawed and unrealistic growth patterns, why should we as a neighborhood and citizens and ratepayers have to bear the burden of this project without any alterations or revisions to this application or docket.

Secondly, these locations do not provide any alternative sites as ATC indicates. And this is simply not an alternative as indicated. The demand for the energy they indicate is needed to keep the lights on is non-existent in the direct vicinity or area of these proposed substations. Last fall the ATC substation ad hoc committee and more recently our alderman, Jay Allen, proposed placing the substation and MG&E distribution facility in the Fitchburg Technology Campus and running the transmission lines down a major transportation thoroughfare of Verona Road and Highway 151. The city council and the planning commission have also since approved this proposal.

With all the flawed data and projections ATC has already provided, I believe that the Commission should seriously consider denying the current application and start over to account for the solution proposed by our neighborhood and the City of Fitchburg and its representatives.

2.1

The FTC is a commercial setting more suited for a facility of this nature and Verona Road/Highway 151 provides a shorter route for the transmission lines in a more commercial thoroughfare. As well the City of Fitchburg has also approved \$6 million of TIF funding to underground the lines in the City of Fitchburg to ease the burden of the alleged additional cost of resubmitting this project in this fashion.

While I realize that the ATC's verbal indication to the ad hoc committee was an additional \$9 million of cost to run the project in this fashion, it is important to note that the original cost of the Montrose/Fitchburg project was projected at \$16 million. That's for two new substations and 10 miles of transmission lines. Six months later, the current Fitchburg/Verona project is projected to cost \$21 million for one substation and six miles of transmission lines.

So I don't understand how much stock we're actually supposed to put in the projections and estimates offered by ATC, and I'm not sure how much the PSC can offer either.

As an oversight commission to the proposed projects by ATC, I sincerely hope this is questioned

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

and scrutinized. My point is if they can change the entire scope and direction of a project in a period of six months from the summer of '06 to the spring -- or from the summer of '05 to the spring of '06, then they surely must be able to provide the City of Fitchburg and its rate paying citizens the opportunity to conduct a new study and provide a new proposal to determine the actual need of this project and if needed the feasibility of placing it in a more appropriate location where it does not directly and negatively impact residential communities and families, and also where the growth and potential future growth and need for these facilities is actually occurring. As the process is currently conceived and submitted, it is completely broken and I ask -- and I indicate that this creates an unacceptable burden of disregard for the individual citizens and ratepayers and the overall public interest. I ask the PSC to deny the current application as it is and begin a new comprehensive study for this project individually. Thank you. EXAMINER MARION: Thank you, Mr. Doyle. (Witness excused at this time.) EXAMINER MARION: Terry Carpenter.

1 TERRY CARPENTER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT 2 3 BY MS. CARPENTER: Good evening. is Terry Carpenter, and I've lived in the Madison area all my life and in Fitchburg for almost ten 5 I don't live in Hillside Heights or as far 7 as I know anywhere near a proposed power line. that doesn't mean I don't empathize with people who 8 live anywhere in the path of the ATC giant and are 9 10 simply worried about their health, their investment 11 and their neighborhood in this battle for rational, reasonable solutions to difficult problems. 12 13 ATC has very deep pockets which we helped 14 And the rest of us have very deep concerns 15 based on both feelings and facts. I understand that 16 ATC has tried to silence citizens who dare to speak out at multiple public hearings, even though ATC 17 18 bombards us with numerous advertisements paid for by 19 us merely to inform us, the public, that they will 20 keep our lights on. I submit that losing our air conditioning 2.1 22 during those peak summer hours which -- represents 23 the only potential danger. It's the very least of 24 our worries these days.

So I'm here in support of the people who

25

2.1

will be most affected by every decision the Public Service Commission makes. I'm here to thank people for their involvement with this convoluted and secretive process. If it weren't for people in harm's way taking a stand, I and many others wouldn't have heard about the issue since it's not very well advertised to say the least.

After hearing about how the suggestions of the Hillside Heights neighborhood and the Fitchburg city council were trampled by ATC's argument that it would take too long to start a new application process or cost too much to bury transmission lines, I'm sorry that I didn't get involved earlier. Does that mean I don't hear about the outcome? No. It just means that many of us including people who are not here tonight haven't yet taken the time to learn the facts.

I recently did take the time to read a book that woke me up to the health problems with electromagnetic fields that people have been talking about. And there are numerous scientific studies that are even more compelling. I know that I may be duplicating the testimony of other witnesses earlier today, but I think it bears repeating.

I'd like to read a press release and

2.1

excerpts from the conclusions from Section 17 of the new bio initiative report. The report is hundreds of pages long and it can be found on the internet. The bio initiative report is a rationale for the biologically based public exposure standard for electromagnetic fields, ELF and RF.

The press release from August 31st of this year begins the serious public health concerns raised over exposure to electromagnetic fields, EMF, from power lines and cell phones. An international working group of scientists, researchers and public health policy professionals, the bio initiative working group, has released its report on electromagnetic fields and health. They document serious scientific concerns about current limits, regulating how much EMF is allowed from power lines, cell phones and many other sources of EMF exposure in daily life. The report concludes the existing standards for public safety are inadequate to protect public health.

Section 17 covers key scientific evidence and public health policy recommendations, and it's written by Dr. Carpenter, no relation, and Ms. Sage. David O. Carpenter is an M.D., he is also the director of the Institute For Health and the

1 Environment at the University of Albany New York. So here are just four excerpts from the 2 conclusions from that section. We cannot afford 3 business as usual any longer. It's time that planning for new power lines and for new homes, 5 schools and other habitable places around them is done with routine provision for low EMF environments. New regulatory limits for ELF based 8 on biologically relevant levels of ELF are 9 10 warranted. ELF limits should be set below those 11 exposure levels that have been linked in childhood 12 leukemia studies to increase risk of disease, plus 13 an additional safety factor. It is no longer 14 acceptable to build new power lines and electrical 15 facilities that place people in ELF environments 16 that have been determined to be risky at levels 17 generally at two milliGauss and above. While new 18 ELF limits are being developed and implemented, a 19 reasonable approach would be a one milliGauss limit 20 for habitable space adjacent to all new or upgraded power lines and a two milliGauss limit for all new 2.1 22 construction. 23 It's also recommended that a one 24 milliGauss limit be established for existing

habitable space for children and/or women who are

25

2.1

pregnant. This recommendation is based on the assumption that a higher burden of protection is required for children who cannot protect themselves and who are at risk for childhood leukemia at rates that are traditionally high enough to trigger regulatory action.

While it's not realistic to reconstruct all existing electrical distribution systems in the short term, steps to reduce exposure from these existing systems need to be initiated, especially in places where children spend time and should be encouraged. That's the end of my excerpts.

Clearly a few hours of peak demand at current usage rates and growth projections which may or may not come to pass are not more important than our health and especially that of our children. We are smarter than that. We are more compassionate than that. Conservation and innovation can be used to take care of the peaks while we conduct an independent study of the true needs and review the recommendations by this group of professionals with an eye towards finding the solution that also protects the public health.

Although the alternate location at Fitchburg Technology Campus sounds like the best

1	solution at this point, I believe we need to stop
2	and evaluate the consequences of that option as well
3	before we expose our children to even more danger
4	than they already face. Thank you very much.
5	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you,
6	Ms. Carpenter.
7	(Witness excused at this time.)
8	EXAMINER MARION: Dawn Ramin, please.
9	This bio initiative report, Ms. Carpenter, did you
10	get this off the internet?
11	MS. CARPENTER: Yes. I have the URL in
12	there too.
13	EXAMINER MARION: Okay. I'm going to mark
14	Ms. Carpenter's handout as Exhibit H. If counsel
15	wants to look at it.
16	(Exhibit H was marked.)
17	DAWN RAMIN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
18	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
19	BY MS. RAMIN: My name is Dawn Ramin and I
20	live in the Town of Oregon. And I think my
21	perspective tonight is from the bigger picture. A
22	citizenry of sleepwalkers and special interest money
23	underlining our state government is what has brought
24	us to the hearings tonight. If people were paying
25	attention to the ATC issue, they would be outraged.

2.1

They would be outraged about the conflict of interest that ATC represents in the determination of transmission line needs, and the questionable politics that established ATC as a for-profit entity.

I can illustrate the absurdity of the conflict of interest issue with a simple analogy. If I were to ask my son, my seven-year-old son to take inventory of his current stash of toys and tell me if he can project how many he's going to need into the future, that would be absurd, and I think I can have a pretty good idea of what kind of answer I'd get. It's absurd at that level and it's more so at the level involving the sums of money and health issues that we're talking about tonight.

Those citizens that are paying attention are outraged that their votes on the referendum for an independent study determining the necessity of new transmission lines were ignored. Why are we here discussing routes instead of necessity for those routes? This is a good example of why citizens are apathetic about getting involved in local political issues.

If people were paying attention, they would be outraged that Wisconsin used to have the

1 lowest electrical rates in the midwest and now we 2 have the highest. Why is that? Could it be that the group who's supposed to watch out for the 3 ratepayers, that is the Public Service Commission, is understaffed and also finds itself under the 5 shadow of conflict of interest as well. 7 Citizens would again be outraged to learn that there is a lack of required collaboration in 8 9 planning to meet the state's future energy needs. 10 ATC has failed to consider options involving 11 combustion turbines and new technology to boost the 12 capacity of existing transmission lines to meet the 13 energy demands that they say require additional 14 lines. 15 Asking citizens to conserve energy has not 16 been pursued either. It's wrong to allow ATC to 17 proceed with new transmission lines when they are 18 driven by their company's bottom line instead of an 19 objective independent analysis. The best interests of Wisconsin's ratepayers will not be served by 20 2.1 proceeding with these projects. Thank you. 22 EXAMINER MARION: Thank you. (Witness excused at this time.) 23 24 EXAMINER MARION: Has Mr. Mills decided 25 whether he wishes to testify or not? How about

1 Supervisor Richmond? 2 MR. RICHMOND: Yes, sir. KYLE RICHMOND, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN 3 DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. RICHMOND: My name is Kyle 5 Richmond. I'm a Dane County supervisor and I 7 represent south central Madison and north central Fitchburg. I was going to say a lot more, but I 8 9 think Ms. Ramin's comments just cut short some of 10 the things I needed to say. I think she got right 11 to the point. We've seen each other before. I spoke in 12 13 Waunakee. And I'm sure we'll see each other again 14 as this process goes on. The majority of Dane 15 County board supervisors have real concerns about 16 the process being carried out in Dane County right 17 now to site new high voltage power lines. believe that there are estimates that are being used 18 19 that are in error and are out of date. We believe 20 that there is a process that pretends to listen to the public but does not. We believe that there is a 2.1 22 process that's being presented in pieces so that no one can see the whole and that does not include 23 24 alternatives being used right now in other places in 25 the United States.

1 We believe that there is a process that's being skewed toward the applicant, ATC, or the 2 experts as ATC presents itself over the public which 3 cannot hope to be heard clearly, even in a process like this tonight, without hiring its own experts 5 and lawyers to boot. 6 We ask that the Public Service Commission 7 consider all the points made by the various 8 intervenors, municipal officials and concerned 9 10 citizens as evidenced by people here tonight and 11 truly represent the public interest. That's all I 12 have to say. Thank you. 13 EXAMINER MARION: Thank you very much, 14 sir. 15 (Witness excused at this time.) 16 EXAMINER MARION: Mark Roeder. 17 MARK ROEDER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN 18 DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT 19 BY MR. ROEDER: My name is Mark Roeder. 20 live at 5564 County Circle in Fitchburg, Wisconsin. My wife is Mosa Roeder. Our children are Eva and 2.1 22 Abigail Roeder, they are three years old and 14 23 months. 24 This issue is so close to us that if the 25 proposed 138 kilovolt power line went down the east

2.1

side of Fish Hatchery Road, our house would have to be condemned and torn down. I am firmly against all three proposed ATC sites, namely the Irish Lane or North Parish Gardens site, the city site and the Mandt site, all of which are right across the street from my house and neighborhood.

I believe the MG&E project should be separate from the ATC project. The MG&E substation should be located in the Fitchburg Technology Campus or FTC with the lines buried underground to the existing 138 kilovolt line. The ATC transmission line should run from the existing Fitchburg substation down County PD and U.S. Highway 18/151 or Verona Road to the Verona substation with the lines buried along Highway PD. This plan has been proposed by City of Fitchburg councilman Jay Allen, and I will subsequently refer to this as the FTC/Verona Road plan.

I believe this entire application by ATC should be rejected. And the process should be restarted in order to consider alternative locations. The entire application is based on an incorrect premise. MG&E stated back on May 2nd, 2007, that the area of Irish Lane and Fish Hatchery Road was being considered because it was, quote, in

the center of where the long term growth in the center was expected. ATC has perpetuated this thinking.

2.1

This is incorrect since according to the Fitchburg land use plan of '95 there is no future growth planned for this area at all. The growth is projected now to be in the northeast part of the city, and the FTC is a perfect location to site the substation. I will put in parentheses if the substation is needed at all according to, you know, per other people's quotes who have already spoken. The FTC is actually closer to the area of projected growth in Fitchburg.

It should also be noted the FTC is an industrial park that is more suited for a substation than the proposed rural and residential sites that are right across the street from my house and my neighborhood of Hillside Heights.

ATC says that it is already compromised -has already compromised by taking the recommendation
of the Fitchburg ad hoc committee and making the
city site the preferred site in their application.
This is just more political jockeying. The ad hoc
committee was only given the three choices of the
North Parish Gardens site, the city site and Mandt

2.1

site to consider. They weren't allowed to consider other options. This is another example of ATC proposing the worst options at the start of the process so that they can appear like they are compromising when they make the slightest change to their original proposal.

ATC has said that the FTC/Verona Road plan is cost prohibitive. I do not accept this since the City of Fitchburg has offered to contribute \$6 million to the burying of the power lines. This amount was offered before Fitchburg even knew what the additional costs would be. I'm sure, I can't speak for them, but I'm sure they would contribute more if the FTC/Verona Road plan were chosen.

However, ATC should pay some of the additional cost. Why shouldn't a company be socially responsible and work to keep our neighborhood safe and free of hazards like a substation and overhead lines, especially when a city favors this type of situation and has actually offered up money to offset some of the additional costs.

If the current application is accepted, which doesn't include any undergrounding of lines, Fitchburg is going to want to put these lines underground at some point. And it is only going to

2.1

cost more later on down the road. It certainly seems rational to me to have ATC and Fitchburg share the burden of undergrounding these lines. And if that is the case, then running the line down PD and Verona Road are what is best for Fitchburg, and the option that is best for the community should be seriously considered.

The FTC/Verona Road plan has a very large amount of support from many people and groups. It's supported by the Fitchburg city council, which has stated they support the FTC/Verona Road plan and they have officially offered funds to help offset the cost of undergrounding lines, supported by the Fitchburg zoning administrator and city planner Tom Hovel, both city elders in District 4, I apologize, the district number could be incorrect, supported by the Byrnewood neighborhood, the Hillside Heights neighborhood, and the current property owner of the FTC site.

With all this public support, why is the FTC/Verona plan largely being written off and ignored by ATC. ATC claims it's too late in the process to start the application process over and satisfy the power load requirements of the near future. I do not believe this is true. There are

2.1

temporary options that could be put in place until new applications were approved. MG&E has stated that they could run a temporary circuit from the new Syene substation to meet the distribution need until a new application could be approved which I have read would only take a few months.

ATC has stated that they could temporarily run diesel generators at the Verona substation until the new transmission line is built. ATC has actually done something of this nature before.

I think that these are good temporary options so that the best plan for Fitchburg can be identified. One that is also safe for the residents of Fitchburg. I think ATC should be forced to do meaningful outreach to the community that is actually used in their planning process instead of pushing their own agenda with endless media advertising. It's hard to feel like my voice is being listened to when I hear ATC ads pushing their own agenda over and over again on the radio.

In summary, I believe this ATC application has many serious flaws, all of which I couldn't even hope to highlight in this short period of time. And I believe there are many much better options out there that should be seriously considered. I

1 believe this application should be rejected and strong consideration should be given to the 2 FTC/Verona plan among other plans that could 3 potentially be identified. Thank you. EXAMINER MARION: Thank, Mr. Roader. 5 (Witness excused at this time.) 6 7 EXAMINER MARION: Marilyn Wilson. MARILYN WILSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN 8 9 DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT 10 BY MS. WILSON: I'm Marilyn Wilson. 11 here as a citizen. I did not bother bringing my 1,600 peer reviewed studies because it seemed to 12 13 cause a lot of paper wars up in Waunakee at 20 below 14 windchills, so I thought I'd save you the problems of all of that. 15 16 I'm here because I've been reading and 17 studying and speaking with numerous experts about the human health issues, about transmission lines. 18 19 And I spent time in the summer in Sweden meeting 20 with people from the Cara Lenska Institute and I 2.1 didn't know I was calling upon a really important 22 researcher, I just thought it was a guy from Sweden, but it turns out it's where the Nobel Peace Prize 23 24 comes from, so these people seem to know a lot about what they're doing. And he said he'd give me five 25

2.1

minutes, and when I told him what was going on here he gave me an hour and a half. And we've been E-mailing each other. And there are people who have done major research on lining up transmission lines and childhood leukemia, and it matches like a glove. And these kinds of pieces of information just are not getting shared. And it's because people don't have the money to hire people to stand here before you and tell you this.

And I don't know what to do as a citizen except just keep showing up and reminding people that this is a human health issue and that we are in Dane County, we are in one of the best places in the world, we have some of the smartest people in this whole world living right here among us. I will not mention names. But they actually live here, honest. I'm trying to help a ninth grader do math this afternoon, and I'm not one of them. Okay.

So there are people here at University of Wisconsin and there are people here at the Public Service Commission and there are very, very intelligent dairy farmers who know more than some Ph.D. electrical engineers about the wiring issues and about electromagnetic fields and what radiation can do to biological tissue.

1 And this kind of issue absolutely has to be before us. And other countries are taking the 2 time to develop much more smaller cogeneration 3 plants, less transmission. They're taking the time to be smart about developing the technology that is 5 closer to home than these huge archaic, outdated, 7 outmoded, dangerous transmission lines. And I really appreciate you holding this hearing and 8 hearing some of our words tonight. So aren't you 9 10 proud of me that I didn't bring 500 copies of 11 something? 12 EXAMINER MARION: Thank you for your 13 testimony. 14 (Witness excused at this time.) 15 EXAMINER MARION: Is there anyone else 16 present who wishes to testify? Okay. I don't have any other requests from anyone -- Ms. Michetti. 17 MS. MICHETTI: Yes, can I add something? 18 19 EXAMINER MARION: Yes, you may. FURTHER DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT 20 2.1 BY MS. MICHETTI: In the testimony I gave 22 earlier, I talked about a scientist who was unable to do research that -- when he applied. I believe 23 24 the details of that entire situation including all 25 the ways he applied, the applications to the NIH and

2.1

to other institutions after his research had been successful and after it had been published which is the criteria that science uses, publication, his name is Robert O. Becker and he's an M.D. who happens to also be a physicist. And he wrote a book called Cross Currents, the Promise of Electro Medicine and the Perils of Electro Pollution. And it was published in 1990. And he also wrote another book, and I'm not sure if it's the same book or if it's a little different, and it was called The Body Electric.

I ask that you put those two books into -the total contents of those books into the official
testimony. I don't have a copy of them, but I was
able to get them through a library loan.

And I was -- at the most recent ATC

meeting in Fitchburg, I was given a pamphlet and it

was authored by the Wisconsin PSC and it was a

multi-page on health effects. And when I opened it

up, I failed to look at the date of publication, I

thought it was after the Waunakee hearing, but I

don't know for sure. But I felt suddenly that -- I

went hot all over because I didn't see Becker's name
in there. But I don't know what the date of that

is. And I would consider that he be considered as

1	well as any of the people that Marilyn Wilson has
2	submitted in the past and that these people be
3	reconsidered for this hearing. Thank you.
4	EXAMINER MARION: Thank you.
5	(Witness excused at this time.)
6	EXAMINER MARION: Ma'am.
7	MS. DAGGETT: I'd like to say something.
8	EXAMINER MARION: Come on up, please. You
9	had a slip earlier, did you not?
10	MS. DAGGETT: Yes, Marianne Daggett.
11	MARIANNE DAGGETT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN
12	EXAMINER MARION: Please proceed.
13	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT
14	BY MS. DAGGETT: When I found out there
15	was another ATC meeting tonight, I didn't want to
16	come. I am not much of a public speaker and I'm
17	very weary of the whole issue. But I know that's
18	just what ATC would love would be to wear us down,
19	so here I am.
20	My neighborhood has been fighting this for
21	over a year now. Public opposition has been strong,
22	clear and persistent. We object to the proposed
23	locations of the substation and the power lines, and
24	many of us question the need for any of it at all.
25	I have grown weary of ATC and all they stand for.

1 I'm tired of seeing their literature, using the term 2 loosely, in my mailbox. Of hearing them spew their propaganda at their not-so-open open houses. 3 disgusted by their strong arm tactics of intimidation, invasion of privacy toward those who 5 oppose their plans. 6 7 I am disheartened when I read in the newspapers of things like the 74-plus thousand 8 9 dollars that ATC has spent on lobbying and of their 10 hefty campaign contributions to our elected 11 officials. It seems as if ATC's plans are not at all about serving the interest of the people, but 12 13 instead are about big profits for big companies and 14 kickbacks for those who helped railroad their plans 15 through. So I hope the Wisconsin Public Service 16 17 Commission will take to heart the excellent points 18 made by ATC's opposition tonight and show that you 19 really are dedicated to serving the interests of the 20 people as you claim on your web site. Thank you. 2.1 EXAMINER MARION: Thank you. 22 (Witness excused at this time.) 23 EXAMINER MARION: Is there anyone else who 24 wishes to testify? 25 MS. DARLING: I didn't fill out a slip

1 yet. 2 EXAMINER MARION: That's okay. Why don't you testify and you can fill out the slip 3 afterwards. CONNIE DARLING, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN 5 EXAMINER MARION: I will ask you since you 7 don't have the slip yet to give us your name and address. 8 9 DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT 10 BY MS. DARLING: Connie Darling, 5481 11 Irish Lane. I have two pages of testimony, but I think being late I won't go into that. 12 13 just something that I haven't heard anyone mention 14 that I think is well worth looking into, and that's in the siting of substations we have two that are 15 16 near hillsides. One of them at the city site I 17 think has a potential to be buried well and I believe very truly that all consumers should share 18 19 in the cost, you know, a higher price for their electrical power is the only fair way to make us 20 2.1 compensated and have a place that's worth living in. 22 And one of the things I discovered looking on the internet is that the City of Anaheim has 23 24 buried their substation and they have created an 25 open space park above it. I have a lot of

2.1

literature here and some pictures of it. But it ended up being -- well, it actually happens a number of places around the world. Vancouver, Canada, Spain, Germany, Australia, all have similar substations. They're called park substations usually. They end up being a lot more cost effective and people can continue to live around them. I'm not sure how all this technology has been missed by our ATC group, but it looks like it's working well around this country and around the world. And I hope that's something that they will look into as we hopefully get a chance to go at this again another time. Thank you.

EXAMINER MARION: Thank you. Okay.

Anyone else? Seeing no other hands, I want to thank everyone for your attendance and for your patience and participation. I just want to inform counsel and for the record that I have marked all of the written statements that folks have offered in lieu of testifying as one exhibit and that is Exhibit G, and it is here for counsel's inspection if you so desire.

For the benefit of the public, the next step in this process will be another hearing next week. I believe we start at 9 o'clock on Tuesday in

```
1
           the hearing room at the Public Service Commission at
 2
           610 North Whitney Way. And there all of the
 3
           evidence that is on file already and available
           through the Public Service Commission web site will
           be offered into evidence and those witnesses will be
 5
           available for cross examination by other parties,
 6
 7
           and it will be kind of a continuation of this.
                     So once again, thank you all for your
 8
9
           participation. We're adjourned.
10
                     (The hearing concluded at 8:55 p.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
     STATE OF WISCONSIN
 2
     MILWAUKEE COUNTY
 3
                 I, LYNN M. BAYER, RPR, CM, Certificate of Merit
 4
 5
     Reporter with the firm of Gramann Reporting Company, 710
     North Plankinton, Suite 710, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, do
6
7
     hereby certify that I reported the foregoing proceedings,
     and that the same is true and correct in accordance with
8
9
     my original machine shorthand notes.
10
11
12
     Lynn M. Bayer
13
     Certificate of Merit Reporter
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

1	I N D E X	
2	EXAMINATION	PAGE
3	BEVERLY RYDER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	10
4	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. RYDER	11
5	LYNNE BALLESTA PUBLIC DULY SWORN	15
6	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. BALLESTA	15
7	BONNIE MOSCHKAU PUBLIC WITNESS DULY SWORN	22
8	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. MOSCHKAU	22
9	MEG CATES, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	24
10	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. CATES	24
11	ROBERT LAUER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	26
12	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. LAUER	26
13	REBECCA ZUBA, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	31
14	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. ZUBA	33
15	ROBERT LAWRENCE, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	39
16	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. LAWRENCE	39
17	GARY HAMMEN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	50
18	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. HAMMEN	50
19	ROGER COLE, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	53
20	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. COLE	53
21	LAWRENCE RYDER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	55
22	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. RYDER	55
23	JAY ALLEN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	61
24	SUMMARY STATEMENT BY MR. ALLEN	62
25	ANNELIESE EMERSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	63

1	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. EMERSON	63
2	DAN BREUNIG, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	73
3	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. BREUNIG	73
4	RENA GELMAN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	76
5	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. GELMAN	76
6	PETER MIESS, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	77
7	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. MIESS	77
8	STEVEN BOOKS, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	78
9	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. BOOKS	78
LO	RITA BURKE HENRICKS, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	85
11	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. BURKE HENRICKS	85
L2	JOHN CATES, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	90
L3	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. CATES	90
L4	TONY HARTMANN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	96
L5	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. HARTMANN	96
L6	ROBERT E. DUBEAU, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	105
L7	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. DUBEAU	105
L8	STEVE ARNOLD, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	113
L9	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. ARNOLD	114
20	BRIAN AST, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	123
21	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. AST	123
22	S.C. DAGGETT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	132
23	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. DAGGETT	132
24	JOHN DARLING, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	136
25	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY JOHN DARLING	136

1	SUSAN MICHETTI, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN			
2	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. MICHETTI	137		
3	SEAN DOYLE, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	141		
4	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. DOYLE	141		
5	TERRY CARPENTER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	146		
6	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. CARPENTER	146		
7	DAWN RAMIN, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	151		
8	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. RAMIN	151		
9	KYLE RICHMOND, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	154		
10	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. RICHMOND	154		
11	MARK ROEDER, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	155		
12	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MR. ROEDER	155		
13	MARILYN WILSON, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	161		
14	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. WILSON	161		
15	FURTHER DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. MICHETTI	163		
16	MARIANNE DAGGETT, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	165		
17	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. DAGGETT	165		
18	CONNIE DARLING, PUBLIC WITNESS, DULY SWORN	167		
19	DIRECT TESTIMONIAL STATEMENT BY MS. DARLING	167		
20				
21	EXHIBITS			
22	EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE ID'D	RECV'D		
23	A Ms. Ryder's document 15	22		
24	B Ms. Zuba's documents 39	53		
25				

1	С	Mr. Ryder's document	61	
2	D	Ms. Emerson's document, Bio Initiative		
3		Report	72	
4	E	(not identified on the record)		
5	F	(not identified on the record)		
6	G	appearance slips w/comments	168	
7	Н	Ms. Carpenter's documents	151	
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	1			l